LONG THE COLOR LINE

BY RAY E WILLIS

ZOKI III

Lo and behold. The other day when I opened my R-J newspaper, staring me smack in the face was a picture of newlyappointed Las Vegas City Councilman Ken Brass. The conservative banker appeared dapper and smiling, along with his wife

So what's the big deal? you say. Complimentary photo spreads and articles about Black men and their families appear in the newspapers all the time. Not so. A reality check is definitely in

For the record I can't recall too many times when the media has done a positive story about a Black man and his family like the one on Councilman Brass.

No, I don't believe there's a conspiracy not to run positive news about Black families. I do, however, believe that the media gets caught up on the "stereotype syndrome." That is, they tend to stick to the tried-and-true - those stories about Blacks

that fit with stereotypic concepts that are embedded in the long term memory of mostly-white and male news editors and the

ing about. Stories about welfare moms, gangs, drugs, crime inner city story angles portraying Blacks in a dehumanized

In truth and reality there are just as many positive attributes about Black people and Black families that rarely see the light of day. For instance, there are hundreds, perhaps even thousands, of Black families right here in Las Vegas with a profile similar to the Brasses. I'm speaking of solid, middle class families who share the hopes, dreams and aspirations of Americans, irrespective of race or color.

Unfortunately, their stories

(See Ray Willis, Page 4)

PART ONE OF A

TWO PART SERIES By Dr. Manning Marable

To much of America, New York City is identified as a bastion of liberal politics, multiculturalism and social permissiveness. Registered Democrats outnumber Republicans by a five-to-one margin. Conventional wisdom declared that the probability of a Reagan Republican being elected mayor of New York City was something like Fidel Castro's chances of being elevated as mayor of Miami.

Yet political appearances are deceiving. The defeat of incumbent Democratic mayor David Dinkins of New York by former Reagan Administration Associate Attorney General Rudolph Giuliani should have been a major shock. But the sad truth is that David Dinkins was the first, and probably will be the last African-American mayor, for at least a decade.

Dinkins had spent a lifetime preparing for the job, progressing quietly from Harlem assemblyman to city clerk to Manhattan borough president and finally mayor in 1989. Always wellattired, he carefully cultivated an image of cautious optimism. He advanced coalition politics rather than confrontations, and developed strong ties with the city's large Jewish community. His style as a conciliator represented a sharp departure from the provocative manner of his democratic predecessor as mayor, Ed

But Dinkins never lived up to most white voters' expectations. True, there were some positive initiatives by his administration, such as the establishment of thirteen walk-in health care centers for the poor. His low income housing rehabilitation program was the nation's largest, with 17,000 units which became available in the past year. But in only four years, the city lost more than 300,000 jobs, and the mayor was the logical public figure to blame.

Critics began to point to one thousand and one examples of New York's social disintegration and escalating violence: the alarming fact that there were 5,761 violent incidents in New York's schools last year, and that one out of five high school

students now regularly carry weapons in the classroom; that between January through October, 1993, 362 black people under the age of 21 were murdered by other blacks in the city; that 125,000 New York residents are estimated to be infected with HIV, and more than 50,000 diagnosed with AIDS to date, creating a massive public health crisis; that 5,700 homeless families in the city are in shelters, an increase of 1700 since Dinkins assumed the mayor's office; and that the city's immediate budget deficit was between \$250 and \$740 million.

Eventually, people blamed Dinkins for the problems of daily life for which the mayor had little or no responsibility or control. On the eve of the election, a New York Times/WCBS-TV study declared that 50 percent of all New Yorkers interviewed "thought life in the city got worse during the Dinkins years." Another thirty percent judged the quality of life to be "the same,"



DR. MANNING MARABLE with only 8 percent claiming that things had improved.

Dinkins' reputation as a racial healer soured with the Crown Heights disturbances, with the failure of the mayor to act decisively. But in the end, what really destroyed Dinkins' chanced for reelection was the omnipresent spector of race. When President Clinton came to town last month and said what was obviously true - that many white Americans have difficulty voting for people who are racially different from themselves - the critics went ballastic. New York Times columnist A.M. Rosenthal blus-(See Along Color Line, Pg 4)

public in general. You know the ones I'm talkand children.

seldom if ever seem to capture the imagination of the press. Look at it from a reporter's per-

NLV...THE WESTSIDE STORY



Jim Marsh with Daughter Stacy

><PARTS & SERVICE: M-F 7:00 am to 5:30 pm Sat. 8:00 am to NOON

1993 MAZDA



10,685

1993 MAZDA 13,685

1993 JEEP GRAND CHEROKEE 4X4 LAREDO



Automatic; Tilt Steering; Power Steering; Cruise Control; Air Condition ing; Am/Fm Cassette; Power Windows; Roof Rack; Power Locks; Custom eels: Aluminum Wheels: 6-Ovlinder Engine: Towing Package

850 GTAS



Automatic; Power Locks; Air Conditioning; Cruise Control; Moon Roof; Am/Fm Cassette; ABS Brakes Touring Package; Power Seats; And Much More

BASE PRICE, AFTER REBATE, PLUS TAX, DOC. FEE, FREIGHT AND OPTIONS

By R.K. Brown

As my dad asked me the other day, what happened to the government's relationship with Haiti? I had no response. In truth, all I could have said was, what about it?

In South Africa, the HIS-TORICALLY racist whites developed a constitution for equal access. Now all citizens in that country should have voting rights and job opportunity. Because of this event, the U.S. government has suggested that we wave all sanctions against it's white cousin.

America adopted these same types of PAPER ideals in it's constitution over 200 years ago. It wasn't until the 1960's that it conceded basic voting rights to blacks and other minorities. Even America took 175 vears just to face it's own racist legacy. No way are whites in South Africa who are outnumbered 8 to 1 going to give up powerl

In the FarEast, South America, Europe, and the Middle East; our military has gone in and saved independent nation

states. Of course all had to promise to be American puppets, willing to go to war and die for white American interests. The U.S. has employed this practice since the start of the cold war.

But, only in the case of Somalia have I seen our military go to war with the people they were sent over there to help. It just blows my mind that we can send planes and bombs to Israel, weapons and arms to South America, and supplies and intelligence to Bosnia; and always in complete harmony.

In many of these cases the government operates above the heads of the American public. They call it government, or CIA operations. Why can't America send CIA troops to South Africa and train the oppressed blacks? Why aren't they given guns, bombs, planes, and trains, like other American interests? At 8 to 1, they would wipe those racists up pretty quickly?

In Haiti, our government has openly acknowledged that it's shores have been ripped by war and unrest. The OFFICIAL govemment position is, "the people are tom by war and chaos." If we

look at any period of American history, we find these to be the classical elements necessary to be considered "refugees."

In the 19th and 20th centuries, millions of white immigrants came to this country and were welcomed with open arms. Asian populations in more recent times have come to America because they wanted political asylu., Our most hated enemies, the Russians, openly come into the country by the plane loads in the name of peace.

The government's position on Haiti is clearly "hey they might meet all qualifications we have accepted for over 200 years, but they are still black!" We can't help them and they can't come to the U.S., so we got to get that brotha back in

There is no answer to my dad's question because there never was. The only reason they let brothas into lily white America was because we worked for free! The black world is on it's own. And always will be. peace...