Las Vegas SENTINEL-VOICE, January 17, 1985

Point of View

Editorial

2

Are our elderly and the poor going to be penalized by this administration for being elderly and poor? A fair question? We hope not.

It appears that the present administration is seriously considering either cutting, taxing, or freezing benefits to Social Security recipients in order to reduce the deficit.

Maybe the question should be asked: Are these recipients the cause of the huge deficit incurred by this administration? No one knows for sure where the problems with the national budget deficit really lie. However, we are quite sure that the meager amounts that Social Security recipients have to live on is not the major factor that caused the huge deficit.

Our major concern, at this time, is that the elderly and poor are not made to feel guilty for being in their particular condition. While it may be true that some Social Security recipients may not need the money to live on, it is also true that many recipients have no other means of support.

To play politics with the very existence of the elderly and the poor may cause an enormous amount of tension and fear, thereby depriving many of these persons of the right to live out their lives without fear of having enough to eat or a fairly comfortable place in which to live.

Most of us have been taught that "What goes around, comes around." If this is a truism, the years when fear and tension come around for the politicians will be very difficult ones for them.

To Be Equal

TOWARD EMPOWERMENT Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. 1929-1968

Introduction

The following "Appeal for an international boycott of South Africa" was the subject of a statement given by the late Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. at a meeting at Hunter College, New York City, on Human Rights Day, Nov. 10, 1965. Because of its historical importance, it has been presented in its entirety in two consecutive parts ending this week.

Part II of Two Parts Our default is all the more grievous because one of the blackest pages of our history was our participation in the infamous African slave trade of the 18th century. The rape of Africa was conducted substantially for our benefit to facilitate the growth of our nation and to en-

There are few parallels in human history of the period of which Africans were seized and branded like animals, packed into ships' holds like cargo and transported into chattel Millions sufslavery. fered agonizing death in the middle passage in a holocaust reminiscent of the Nazi slaughter of Jews and Poles, and others. We have an obligation of atonement that is not cancelled by the passage of time. Indeed, the slave trade in one sense was more understandable than our contemporary policy. There

hance its commerce.

was less sense of humanity in the world three hundred years ago. The slave trade was widely approved by the major powers of the world. The economies of

England, Spain, and the U.S. rested heavily on the profits derived from it. Today, in our opulent society, our reliance on trade with South Africa is infinitesimal significance. No real national interest impels us to be cautious, gentle, or a good customer of a nation that offends the world's conscience.

Powerful Non-Violent Alternative To Support Of Apartheid

Have we the power to be more than peevish with South Africa, but yet refrain from acts of war? To list the extensive economic relations of the great powers with South Africa is to suggest a potent non-violent path. The international potential of nonviolence has never been

employed. Non-violence has been practiced within national borders in Indian, the U.S. and in regions of Africa with spectacular success. The time has come to utilize non-violence fully through a massive international boycott which would involve the USSR, Great Britain, France, the United States, Germany and Japan. Millions of people can personally give expression to their abhorrence of the world's worst racism through such a far-flung boycott. No nation professing a concern for man's dignity could avoid assuming its obligations if people of all States and races were to adopt a firm stand. Nor need we confine an international boycott to South Africa. The time

See KING, Page 11

CORPORATE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

By John E. Jacob

Equal employment opportunity is not something corporations do for minorities. It is something corporations must do for themselves in order to compete for talent.

Corporate America has been slow to realize this.

It only began hiring blacks in appreciable numbers during the civil rights ferment in the 1960s. But it tended to see that hiring as social responsibility, not as sound business practice.

It takes anywhere from 15 to 20 years for aggressive managers to work their way through line operating positions of increasing importance to finally enter the inner circle of senior executives.

If Corporate America

had been serious about cialists. They can implefully utilizing the new black talent it recruited in the 1960s, today we would be seeing large numbers of black vice presidents, senior and group vice presidents.

and even CEOs. But we do not. That is because blacks hired in the 60s were often shunted into EEO, into special markets, into the ghetto of designated "black" jobs that did not give them exposure to operating positions that constitute the corporate fast track to success. We now have large numbers of black managers who have reached a career plateau because their functions are being integrated into the general operations of the corporation. Today, most large companies can reach special markets without black spement EEO plans without black EEO managers.

The first generation of black achievers blazed an important trail. They helped their companies. They were role models



John Jacob for younger blacks.

They served honorably and well. They deserve better than the frustration of knowing that their blackness was barrier to career а growth and success.

Will their successors the bright young black managers hired in the past five or ten years - meet with similar frustrations?

Is corporate America making the best use of their talents? Is it giving them the opportunities to run key enterprisesto bring products to market-to let their talent and their accomplishments take them to

> John E. Jacob is President Of The National Urban League

the top?

The true test of corporate equal opportunity is not simply in the numbers of black managers; it is not solely

quantity but quality. If a company says it has X percent black managers that tells us little. Where are those blacks? If they are buried in staff functions or in special markets we

know the company is not fully living up to its equal employment obligations.

If they are in production, sales, finance, and other traditional routes to the top, then we know the company is serious about EEO and serious about attracting top black achievers.

Corporate America

must go beyond its tra-

ditional conception of

equal employment hir-

ing to equal promo-

tional opportunities and

to grooming talented

young black managers

for line positions that

lead to career ladders

have made great strides in recent years and may

reaching to the pinnacle

While white women

of corporate power.

well penetrate the senior ranks of corporate executives within a short period of time, blacks have not made such gains.

It is in the interests of blacks, of corporations of the nation that they do so. An efficient, growth economy needs the full talents of all, and that means equalizing opportunities for those who have been left out in the past.

Subscribe To The Las Vegas Sentinel

................... The views expressed on these editorial pages are those of the artists and authors indicated. Only the one indicated as the Sentinel-Voice editorial represents this publication.