

Official Directory

Judge of the Eighth Judicial District Court, HON. WM. E. ORR

CLARK COUNTY OFFICIALS

Board of Commissioners
 CHAIRMANKENNETH EARL,
 Earl F. Davison George T. Hanson

Sheriff M. E. Ward
District Attorney Roger Foley
Auditor-Rec. David Farnsworth
Assessor Frank DeVinney
Clerk Lloyd S. Payne
Treas. and Tax Col. Wm. B. Mundy
J. P. and Coroner Marion B. Earl
Farm Bureau J. H. Wittwer
Road Supt. Bud S. Barrett
County Physician C. W. Woodbury
Public Administrator O. A. Kimball
Dist. Supt. Pub. Inst., Leonard Sledge
Clark County Relief
Administrator O. K. Adcock

LAS VEGAS CITY

LEONARD L. ARNETT Mayor

Commissioners

Jas. H. Down, Water, Sewers, Lights
 H. P. Marble Streets and Alleys
 H. L. Perry Finance
 Herbert Krause Police and Fire

Viola Burns City Clerk
 LeRoy Whipple Deputy Clerk
 Tom Carroll Municipal Clerk
 Ryland G. Taylor City Attorney
 C. D. Baker City Engineer
 Al Drew Electrical Inspector
 David Mackey Chief of Police
 Fred Callahan Street Supt.
 Harold Case Chief Fire Dept.

Legal Notices

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

Las Vegas, Nevada.
 April 23, 1937.

To MILO E. EMERSON:
 You are hereby notified that we have expended during the year 1930-1931, Three Hundred (\$300.00) Dollars in labor and improvements upon the following mining claims situate in Yellow Pine Mining District, to-wit:

- MOONLIGHT PLACER NO. 1.
- MOONLIGHT PLACER NO. 2.
- MOONLIGHT PLACER NO. 3.

Location Notices of which are recorded respectively in Book 3, Mining Notices, at Page 479, Book 9, Mining Notices, Page 480, Book 9, Mining Notices, Page 480 in the office of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada, in order to hold said claims under the provisions of Section 2324 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, the amendments thereto, concerning annual labor upon mining claims, being the amount required to hold the same for the period ending on the 30th day of June, 1931.

If within ninety (90) days from the personal service of this Notice, or within ninety (90) days after the publication thereof, you fail or refuse to contribute your proportion of such expenditure as a co-owner, which is One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, your interest in the claims will become the property of the subscribers, your co-owners, who have made the required expenditure by the terms of said Section.

D. C. THOMASON,
 HUGH CAMERON.

Pub. Apr. 30, May 7, 14, 21, 28, June 4, 11, 18, 25, July 2, 9, 16, 23, 30, 1937.

TRUSTEE SALE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that I, the duly elected, qualified and acting Treasurer and Ex-Officio Trustee in and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in pursuance of an order of the Board of County Commissioners entered on the minutes of the Board on the 6th day of May, 1937, will on the 5th day of June, 1937, at 10 o'clock A. M. on the said day, at the Treasurer's office in the Court House in Las Vegas, Nevada, sell at public auction to the highest bidder for cash, such right, title and interest as the County of Clark now has in and to all that real property now held by the undersigned in Trust for said county and heretofore owned and assessed to Albert H. Beach, and upon which the State and County taxes for various years, amount

to \$125.00 remained unpaid and became delinquent at various times, and for which said taxes the said property was sold to the Treasurer of said County on September 11th, 1933, all of which is shown on the Tax Sale Notice Posted on said property.

Said property is situated in Clark County, Nevada, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Block 9, being lots numbered 1 to 34 inclusive and lots 36 to 41 inclusive and 1 unnumbered lot of Meadows Addition to the City of Las Vegas, Nevada.

W. B. MUNDY,
 Treasurer and Ex-Officio Trustee in and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada.
 Pub. May 14, 21, 28, June 4.

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

No. 7562

JAMES EDWARD PRESTON, Plaintiff, vs. CLARA BELLE PRESTON, Defendant.

SUMMONS

The State of Nevada Sends Greetings to Said Defendant:

You are hereby summoned to appear within ten days after the service upon you of this summons if served in said County, or within twenty days if served out of said County but within said Judicial District, and in all other cases within thirty days (exclusive of the day of service), and defend the above entitled action.

This action is brought to recover judgment dissolving the bonds of matrimony existing between you and the plaintiff upon the ground of extreme cruelty, all of which fully appears in plaintiff's verified Complaint on file herein, reference to which is hereby made and the same by reference made a part hereof.

Dated this 20th day of May, A. D. 1937.

LLOYD S. PAYNE,
 Clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Clark County, Nevada.
 By SONYA WORTHY, Deputy.

(Seal)
 HAM & TAYLOR,
 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
 Las Vegas, Nevada.
 Pub. May 21, 28, June 4, 11, 18.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO APPROPRIATE THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Application No. 10101

Noice is hereby given that on the 22nd day of March, 1937, in accordance with Section 59, Chapter 59, of the Statutes of 1919, Town of Searchlight of Searchlight, County of Clark, State of Nevada, made application to the State Engineer of Nevada for permission to appropriate .5 of a second foot of the public waters of the State of Nevada. Diversion is to be made from an Underground source at a point in the SW 1/4 SW 1/4 Sec. 34, T. 28 S., R. 63 E., M. D. B. & M., or at a point from which the S. W. corner of said section 34 bears S. 29 deg. 28 min. W., 1,336.4 feet. Water will be diverted by means of a pump and conveyed by pipe line to the S 1/2 and NE 1/4 of said section 34, and there used for municipal purposes from January 1st to December 31st of each year.

Date of first publication May 28, 1937.

Date of last publication June 25, 1937.

Signed:
 ALFRED MERRITT SMITH,
 State Engineer.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO APPROPRIATE THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Application No. 10066

Notice is hereby given that on the 28th day of December, 1936, in accordance with Section 59, Chapter 59, of the Statutes of 1919, Wm R. Smith of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, made application to the State Engineer of Nevada for permission to appropriate 0.30 of a second foot of the public waters of the State of Nevada. Diversion is to be made from an underground source at a point in the SW 1/4 SW 1/4 Sec. 20, T. 20 S., R. 61 E., M. D. B. & M., or at a point from which the W 1/4 corner of Sec. 20, T. 20 S., R. 61 E., bears N. 3 deg. 03

min. W., 1,622 ft. Water will be impounded in a reservoir thence conveyed by ditches to the W 1/4 SW 1/4 SW 1/4 and SE 1/4 SW 1/4 SW 1/4 Sec. 20 T. 20 S., R. 61 E., M. D. B. & M., and here used for irrigation and domestic purposes from February 1st to December 31st of each year.

Date of first publication May 28, 1937.

Date of last publication June 25, 1937.

Signed:
 ALFRED MERRITT SMITH,
 State Engineer.

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

No. 6688

ROBERT FERGUSON, Plaintiff, vs. ELSIE FERGUSON, Defendant.

SUMMONS

The State of Nevada Sends Greetings to Said Defendant:

You are hereby summoned to appear within ten days after the service upon you of this summons if served in said County, or within twenty days if served out of said County but within said Judicial District, and in all other cases within thirty days (exclusive of the day of service), and defend the above entitled action.

Dated this 9th day of April, A. D. 1936.

LLOYD S. PAYNE,

Clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Clark County Nevada.

(Seal)
 ROLAND H. WILEY,
 Attorney for Plaintiff,
 Las Vegas, Nevada.
 Pub. May 28, June 4, 11, 18.

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

No. 7594

DOROTHY MILLER, Plaintiff, vs. RALPH DEWEY MILLER, Defendant.

SUMMONS

The State of Nevada Sends Greetings to Said Defendant:

You are hereby summoned to appear within ten days after the service upon you of this summons if served in said County, or within twenty days if served out of said County but within said Judicial District, and in all other cases within thirty days (exclusive of the day of service) and defend the above entitled action.

This suit is brought by the Plaintiff to obtain judgment severing the contract of marriage, existing between you and her upon the grounds of extreme mental cruelty as fully appears from the verified complaint filed in this action and to which reference is hereby made.

Dated this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1937.

LLOYD S. PAYNE,

Clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Clark County, Nevada.

(Seal)
 J. R. LEWIS,
 Attorney for Plaintiff.
 Pub. June 4, 11, 18, 25, 1937.

Tight-Minders vs. Open-Minders

The Tight-Minders are folk who believe what they believe because they believe it. The Open-Minders believe what they believe because the facts compel them to believe it. The Tight-Minders believe what they believe in spite of the facts. The Open-Minders believe what they believe in spite of themselves — in spite of their preconceived ideas, vested interests, and prejudices.— A. E. Wiggam, author and columnist, in the Rotarian Magazine.

CANY NORMAL BOYS NEED HELP

Poverty is dramatic in its appeal to the humanitarian-minded man. But "underprivilege" is not a matter of bread and butter and bath tubs alone. So-called "normal" boys, too, often need adult aid—counsel, direction, friendship.—From an editorial in the Rotarian Magazine.



A fully motorized kitchen seems destined to relieve the housewife of all physical exertion, as witness the frozen dessert maker and the combination mixer, beater and whipper. The latter may be used in any convenient bowl or pan in addition to that supplied with it. The freezers are made in miniature or large sizes with the silver dome on the top enclosing the motor and gear drive assembly.

THINGS ONE REMEMBERS

(By R. M. Hofer)

One of the strangest controversies the nation has witnessed over electric rates has been taking place regarding power to be generated at the government-owned Bonneville hydro-electric project, near Portland, Oregon.

If a private company had built the dam, the state public service commission would have seen that rates charged were the lowest possible commensurate with cost of production.

In the present instance, a fight is being waged by public officials of neighboring states to have Bonneville power sold at a rate set arbitrarily higher than is necessary, in order that power from Bonneville will not undersell power from other government or municipal projects.

Here is a perfect illustration of what to expect in connection with government-owned business, which is dominated largely by political groups.

In the case of a private power company, a power user can take any controversy with the company to the state public service commission and get a hearing. Let him try to take a grievance or a suggestion regarding a government-owned plant, to a state commission and he will find it has no authority over federal activities.

Then if he wants fun let him present his case to the proper bureau in Washington, he will begin to realize what lawyers' fees, hotel bills and railroad fare amount to in such a hearing. State regulation will probably look pretty good to him after that.

It is all right to follow the advice of the optimist to keep your chin up but don't stick it out too far.