

JACK TELL'S NEWSPAPER

LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE

OF NEVADA

"For Those Who Dream The Best"

THE ONLY ENGLISH-JEWISH NEWSPAPER IN NEVADA

P.O. BOX 14096
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114

Published every Friday in Las Vegas, Nevada
Price per copy 15c - Per year \$7 - 2 years \$12

PHONE 876-1255

Publisher Bea Tell, 876-1255
Editor Jack Tell, 876-1255
Business Manager Bea Tell, 876-1255
Vegas News Helene Stadler, 384-3685

MARK TAN, DICK GUINNESS, TRUDE FELDMAN,
DAVID HOROWITZ, RABBI SAMUEL SILVER,
BONNIE TELL

2nd Class Postage Paid at Las Vegas, Nevada
Member of World-Union Press
Member American Jewish Press Association
Member of Worldwide News Service
Now in 12th Year of Continuous Weekly Publication
Serving the Jewish Community of the State of Nevada

Rabin Explains Israel's Policy to U.S. Congress

The charge by some that it is Israel that is preventing a solution to the Arab-Israeli conflict was proven to be wrong by Premier Yitzhak Rabin's visit to Washington. In his public statements, especially in his address to a joint session of Congress, Rabin explained Israel's position in a clear and forthright manner.

He told Congress that the "driving goal" of Israel's policy is that "however difficult the road, however hard the challenge and however complex the process, Israel will strive with all its being to contribute to the peace of the world by pressing ahead with its efforts for peace with the Arab countries."

The Israeli leader soundly stated his government's stand when he declared "I am ready to meet with any Arab head of government at any time and at any place for the purpose of peace talks." He made a telling point when he noted that when President Anwar Sadat addressed Congress last October the Egyptian leader said there was no substitute for person-to-person contacts. "I wish that he would direct those words to me as well as to you," Rabin told Congress. "I would then know that the work of true peacemaking has finally begun."

For as Rabin correctly pointed out the real issue is not the Palestinians or territory although Israel is ready to negotiate on both issues for real peace. The real issue is the refusal of Arab leaders to recognize the existence of the State of Israel.

"If I were to state in words what is the heart of the Arab-Israeli conflict I would say this," Rabin said, "It is the refusal of the Arab countries to reconcile themselves to the right of existence of one, small, viable sovereign Jewish State in the land of our people's birth ... I am saying that the question of territory, the matter of boundaries, the issue of maps, were not and are not true obstacles to peace."

Unless the world realizes that Arab refusal to recognize Israel's existence is the crux of the conflict, the chances of reaching peace are slim.

Behind The Scenes

At The United Nations

By DAVID HOROWITZ

A World-Union Press Feature

Anti-Zionist Jew for PLO

UNITED NATIONS (WUP) -- As the Security Council was concluding its two-week wrangle on the Middle East -- turned to naught by the definitive U.S. veto cast by Ambassador Moynihan -- the PLO delegation here announced that it was holding a very important press conference.

Correspondents, packing press conference room 226 and anticipating a vital statement relative to the debate, were dumbfounded in what they found.

Lo and behold, the center of the PLO attraction was not Yassir Arafat's leading UN spokesman, Farouk Khaddoumi. It was none other than



RUTH AND BORIS GOLUBEV STUDY IN LIBRARY AT WEIZMANN INSTITUTE IN ISRAEL. BOTH ARE RUSSIAN IMMIGRANTS WITH MASTER'S DEGREES WHO ARE GRADUALLY ASSIMILATING INTO THE MAINSTREAM OF ISRAELI LIFE WITH THEIR SON, LOCA.

the notorious anti Zionist Dr. Alfred M. Lillenthal who was introduced by the PLO representative as "one of the many anti-Zionist Jews who believes that Zionism is a form of racism and who supports the national rights of the Palestinians."

It was an obvious but rather shabby attempt on the part of the PLO to impress correspondents that there are "Jews" who oppose Zionism. But the sinister attempt boomeranged.

Appearing tense and quite nervous as he faced the correspondents, Lillenthal opened with a statement bemoaning the difficulties he had encountered through the years in his efforts to present his pro-Arab case on the American scene. Bitter in tone he not only castigated the major Jewish organizations, more particularly, the ADL of B'nai B'rith, but also the general American press, TV and radio which he charged had come under Zionist influence. Among the newspapers he pointed out as being particularly biased were the New York Times, the Washington Post and the Wall Street Journal, the latter of which he accused of refusing to accept one of his anti-Israeli ads. "The Christian Science Monitor," he said "did accept it."

When the question period began, his PLO hosts quickly began to realize that they had made a grievous mistake in inviting the Jewish "Quisting" to the United Nations.

From the beginning to the end of the conference, Lillenthal was confronted with a barrage of grueling queries on the history of Zionism and the Middle East to which he could give no definite answers. Evading direct replies, he hemmed and hawed as he desperately sought refuge in the standard Arab line. He appeared caught in his own trap.

This was no campus audience he was facing here. He was faced with hard-boiled veteran UN reporters who saw through his bluff and bluster.

One of the correspondents, aware of the fact that he engages in propaganda on behalf of the Arab states and the PLO, asked him whether or not he had registered as a "foreign agent" with the Department of Justice -- a legal re-

(Continued on Page 5)

BE A PROUD ZIONIST

Every Jew should carry in his or her wallet a scorecard listing how each country voted in the UN on the infamous resolution equating Zionism with racism. Keep a constant reminder who your friends and enemies are. Get yours free by sending a stamped, self-addressed envelope to LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE, P.O. Box 14096, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114. Or you may order a hundred for \$2.50 from Anti-Defamation League, 6505 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 814, Los Angeles, California, 90048.

As an added bonus include the name, address and zip of a friend or relative and we'll send them FREE samples of the ISRAELITE for a month.

BE A PROUD ZIONIST

TELL TALES

"One Man Plus The Truth
Constitutes A Majority"

BY JACK TELL



(Continued from Page 1)

out of Carson City stating the Nevada Supreme Court has agreed to consider a petition to force billionaire Howard Hughes to be personally questioned in a \$142 million slander of title suit in Las Vegas.

To begin with, this is not an action commenced by Greenspun. It is a counterclaim, a legal maneuver to delay the actual trial brought by Hughes' interests to collect \$4,000,000 in cash legitimately loaned to Greenspun back in 1967. Not a cent in principle or interest has been repaid to date.

The UPI story relates a promissory note INVOLVED Greenspun's ownership of Paradise Valley Golf Course and his stock in the Las Vegas Sun. (The note did not INVOLVE these properties. They were put up as collateral for the loan.) The story further reports Greenspun understood the deed would not be recorded and that, according to Greenspun, a second note cancelled the first note and released the collateral of the land.

What the UPI story failed to report, that would be of major interest to the public, were the exact dates of the two notes and incidents surrounding the strange maneuvers. Let's tell it as it was so the reader will have a true picture of what transpired.

Back in 1967, when Hughes sought to establish himself in Nevada, his chief of operations, Bob Maheu, had complete power of the check book. He made all the money deals probably with the knowledge and consent of Hughes. During the next four years until Maheu was fired in 1971, Greenspun latched on to approximately \$10,000,000 in cash from the billionaire in direct financial transactions. Additional sums went to the publisher as "finder's fees" in other purchases by Hughes, all arranged by Maheu.

The day that Greenspun received the \$4,000,000, it was disclosed much later under oath, he "loaned" Maheu \$50,000. Maheu, at the time was receiving \$10,000 - a week in salary, but Greenspun never even requested the "loan" be repaid.

Now comes the contents and the very important date the "alleged" second note was executed, which did not appear in the UPI story.

The contents of the "alleged" second note extends repayment of the \$4,000,000 loan until the year 2004. Greenspun contends the "alleged" second note cancelled the first. No where is there any mention of consideration for execution of the "alleged" second note.

The all - important date of the "alleged" second note, was almost four years after the first note and a very short time before Hughes ousted Maheu. Does it sound reasonable that the billionaire, who was probably contemplating when and how to get rid of Maheu, would ratify a second note to Greenspun?

Because there was no mention of a second note until AFTER Maheu was fired, there is a possibility, if not a probability, the document was drawn by Greenspun and Maheu, after he was dismissed, and pre-dated to give it legality. These things have happened, you know, as witness the recent conviction for the Nixon files.

But of most importance to a reasonable public is why a plaintiff in a counterclaim seeking \$142,000,000 in damages would utilize every legal channel to DELAY the action. An honest litigant would want to bring his suit to court for a speedy determination. The answer is obvious. Greenspun's contention is a farce, making a laughingstock of our judicial process.

On the very first day of the trial, which took years to come to court, Greenspun questioned the validity of the attorneys for Hughes, who happen to be former Governor Grant Sawyer and very highly regarded Sam Lionel. The judge denied Greenspun's motion whereupon Greenspun sought an order from the Nevada Supreme Court. Again Greenspun was rebuked.

Now Greenspun is asking the same Supreme Court for an order requiring a personal deposi-

(Continued on Page 5)