

## Strong Torael Reeded

Israel has in the last several weeks been castigated by some in the Pentagon and elsewhere for seeking ultra-sophisticated weapons from the United States, even at the expense of America's own military capability. The controversy has centered around Israel's request for the "Pershing" long - range groundto-ground missiles.

Critics have charged that to give Israel the "Pershing" could tip the balance of power in "Pershing" could tip the balance of power in the Middle East since Israel could then hit Egyptian cities. Some have claimed that there is a danger of Israel triggering a war since the "Pershings" are capable of carrying nuclear warheads and Israel reportedly has the ability to build nuclear weapons,

This overlooks both Israeli Defense Minister Shimon Peres' statement in Washington that Israel will provide a guarantee not to use nuclear warheads and the Israeli government's oft-repeated policy that it will not be the first to introduce nuclear weapons in the Mideast, Even more important, it overlooks the fact that the Soviet Union has heavily armed Egypt, and more

recently Syria, including missiles capable of reaching all of Israel's population centers.

Well-meaning people in the United States and elsewhere have long called for an arms embargo to all sides in the Mideast. Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger said recently he proposed this to the Soviet Union and was told would not agree until Israel withdraws from all Arab lands it occupies.

Theoretically an arms embargo would cool

off Mideast tension. But arms are not the source of the conflict. The conflict is a result of the refusal of the Arab states to recognize the right of the Jewish State to exist within secure and

recognized boundaries.

The recent Egyptian-Israel accord on the Sinai has brought the hope that this Arab intransigence can be overcome. Peres, on his recent visit to the United States, predicted that could come in 10 to 15 years. But until then Israel has to remain militarily strong to deter an Arab attack, or, if it does come, to defeat it. This is the reason Israel needs sophisticated American arms. As Peres put it, "Israel's problem is how to get through these to to 15 years and never to lose a war."

## At The United Nations By DAVID HOROWITZ A World-Union Press Feath

## Gromyko, Kissinger Talkalike

UNITED NATIONS (WUP) -- Before they delivered their much-anticipated foreign policy speeches here - Kissinger on Monday, apeeches here — Kissinger on Monday, September 22, and Gromyko on the 23rd — the two shrewd super-power diplomats had conferred privately in a lengthy exchange of views on the global issues affecting their countries and more particularly on the Middle East.

That they had reached some form of modus vivendi on how to deal with the Israeli-Arab dispute became evident from their cautiously-



FRANK R. LAUTENBERG, LEFT GENERAL CHAIRMAN OF THE UNITED JEWISHAPPEAL EXCHANGES GREETINGS WITH SENATOR HUBERT H, HUMPHREY AT THE AMERICAN FRIENDS OF THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY GOLDEN JUBILEE SCOPUS DINNER RECENTLY AT THE WALDORF ASTORIA, BOTH MEN RECEIVED AWARDS FOR DEDICATION TO THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY AND SUPPORT OF EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL INSTITU-TIONS IN ISRAEL.

phrased addresses before the Assembly, In this connection, it must be noted that Kissinger and Gromyko also met privately in Geneva prior to the latest Sinai accord and before the Helsinki conference which had served the the Helsinki conference which had served the Soviet Union well. The question arises: did they make a "deal?" The U.S. would back the USSR in Helsinki in return for Soviet 'non-intervention' during America's efforts to bring about a new Sinai agreement. Indeed, the Soviets remained strangely silent all through Kissinger's shuttling diplomatic efforts and only reacted somewhat negatively after the signing of the accord by stirring up the Syrians to counteraccord by stirring up the Syrians to counteract the accord.

Apparently, both Washington and Moscow are going out of their way not to upset the apple-cart on the question of detente. Thus, Gromyko, in his UN speech, refrained from any criticism of the United States, although in veiled language, he may have referred to the Sinai accord with these words: "the problem of the Middle East must be free from an approach based on momentary considerations and publicity... His main attack was directed against China.

On the question of the reconvening of the Geneva conference, both Kissinger and Gromyko expressed similar views. The latter stated that "the Soviet Union stands for the reconvening of the conference with the participation of all, and I stress, of all -- and here he deviated from the U.S. position -- parties concerned, including representatives of the PLO..."

Kissinger stated: "We also intend to con-

sult with all concerned regarding the reopening of the Geneva Conference... As co-chairmen the Geneva Conference together with the Soviet Union, our two countries have special responsibilities in this regard," adding that the U.S. is "prepared also to explore possibilities for perhaps a more informal multinational meeting to assess conditions and to discuss the future, a proposal that came as a surprise to the Russians. In the achievement of a "durable peace," he said that "such a peace must meet the principal concerns and interests of all in the - among these are territorial integrity. the right to live in peace and security and the legitimate interests of the Palestinians," omitting the PLO.

In an apparent effort to appease the Soviets and the Arabs, Mr. K. reminded the Assembly that "President Ford had stated that we will not accept stalemate or stagnation in the Middle East. That was true before the Sinai agree-ment was signed, it remains true today. The objective of our policy is not merely to create another temporary truce but to sustain the momentum of negotiations ...

While admitting that the United States has "important differences with the Soviet Union," Mr. K, revealed that "the two countries have held parallel views that the situation in the Middle East poses grave dangers and that partial steps must be part of and contribute towards progress for a comprehensive settlement."



One Man Plus The Truth enstitutes A Majority\*\*

BY JACK TELL



(Continued from Page 1)

their wives and daughters past the door of a questionable arcade. They are there, in the open for everyone to see, and hardly an eyequestionable arcade. brow is raised.

And what about the contamination of future

generations? In Newsweek of September 29 (which happened to be our birthday) Chicago Sun-Times Columnist talks of "Beyond the Sexual Revolution." He noticed teenage boys at a magazine stand displaying sex books. They were huddled around one holding an open magazine. It wasn't Playboy, Penthouse, Qui, or the like. It was the boy, Penthouse, Qui, or the like. It was the Sporting News and the boys were looking up the batting averages of baseball players.

How do you like that?

It just goes to prove that the best way to treat an abnormality that goes against the grain is to bear with it. Relax, don't fight it. It is to bear with it. Relax, do will live or die on its own merit.

It's like the time our youngest, and some say our handsomest son, decided to let his hair grow and to raise a beard. It was the most obnoxious happening to the appearance of any member of our family. But we took it in stride. No raving denunctations. No demands. No We gave him no reason to defy ultimatums.

One day it happened. He came out of the bathroom cleanshaven. He looked smug and received all the compliments he expected. He came back from the next visit to his barber, almost normal. We could see his ears.

e could see his ears.

It took time, but it was worth it.

Think about it for the traumas you are axperiencing right now. Have patience, reax. All will turn out as it should be. The pendulum is swinging.

Hence, he noted that "we have begun discussions with the Soviet Union with a view to assessing the current situation and weighing possible diplomatic approaches to bring about a just and durable peace in accordance with Security Council Resolutions 242 (1967) and 338 (1973)."

Gromyko, while completely ignoring the

UN by omitting any reference to these resolutions, appeared to agree with Kissinger by stating that "the main thing with which the participants should come to the Geneva Con-ference is a firm desire to jointly seek acceptable solutions. The Soviet Union, for its part," he stressed, "is ready to continue to do everything for the Middle East to become a region of durable and lasting peace," adding "a region adjacent to the southern borders of our country." Where he and Kissinger differed was on the participation of the PLO. on the participation of the PLO.

It became clear from his speech that the Soviet Union will not join forces with the Arabs in their attempt to oust Israel from the Assembly, since he emphasized the fact that "a just and lasting peace in the Mideast" must take into consideration "the interests of all States in the area." He took note of what Mr. K, stated the day before namely any ouster move may area." He took note of what Mr. K, stated the day before, namely, any ouster move may only "fuel a futile, self-defeating effort to discriminate -- in violation of the Charter -- against a Member State whose participation is vital for a solution."

Israelite Ads Pay

## ITS RENEWAL TIME RIGHT NOW I FORGOT TO SEND MY SUBSCRIPTION IN TO

LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE - P.O. BOX 14096 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114

I am deeply interested in the welfare and growth of our Jewish Community.

Please add my name to your fast growing list of sub-ADDRESS. APT. No

STATE One year (52 issues)
O'Two Years (104 issue YOUR RENEWAL IS APPRECIATED