PAGE FOUR



## Suiting Deed to Word

President Ford last February declared that the Arab boycott was "repugnant" to him and even named a special White House assistant to deal with the problem. But the Administration while stating that it was United States policy to oppose the boycott which discriminates against Americans, especially American Jews, has not suited the deeds to the words. In fact members of the executive branch have opposed every effort in Congress to provide the Administration with added measures to deal with the boycott.

Many executive departments are even con-tinuing to cooperate with the Arab boycott. This is especially true of the Department of Commerce which has refused to stop its practice of sending out boycott information requests with the invitations for bids from Arab countries. The Department has defended this practice on the grounds that it has the duty to supply American business firms with the complete conditions for any bid. A Department spokes-man said that is is not against American law to comply with the boycott request, it is only against American policy. Perhaps in their eagerness to seek Arab

oil money the Commerce Department as well as the Administration has forgotten that is is their responsibility to protect Americans from discrimination. The Arab boycott not only is aimed at Israel and firms that do business with it, which is had enough, but also at Jews who are owners of firms, serve on boards or work for companies,

Even worse, Commerce Secretary Rogers Morton has refused to release to Jewishorgani-zations and even to Congress the names of com-panies that have complied with the boycott on the grounds that they would be subject to public harassment. But what better way is there to combat the boycott than to shame the companies that have cowardly complied.

The Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith has filed a writ against the Commerce Department and Morton, its first suit against the federal government, to prevent it from distri-buting the Arab bid invitations with boycott information and to force it to reveal the names of the companies that have complied with the boycott.

There should be no need for legal action. If President Ford really finds the boycott "re-pugnant" the White House can demonstrate it by ordering the Commerce Department to change its actions, Perhaps, to borrow a phrase from ADL general counsel Arnoid Forster, Secretary

| 113 | FORGOT TO SEND MY SUBSCRIPTION IN TO   |
|-----|--|
| 1   | LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE - P.O. BOX 14096<br>LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114              |
| 1   | ear Jack:<br>I am deeply interested in the welfare and growth of             |
| 1   | er Jewish Community.<br>Please add my name to your fast growing list of sub- |

| ADDRESS |                |           | PHONE    |  |
|---------|----------------|-----------|----------|--|
| CITY    | - may -        | STATE     | 219      |  |
|         | DOne year (52  | (sees)    | \$ 7.00  |  |
| in the  | UTwo Years (10 | 4 Issues) | \$12.00  |  |
| TUUR    | BENEWAL        | S APPRE   | CIATED - |  |



BERT RABINOWITZ, CHAIRMAN OF THE UNITED JEWISH APPEAL'S NATIONAL CAM-PAIGN CABINET GLORIES IN HIS AVOCATION. HE SAYS, "GETS DOWN TO THE REAL BUSINESS OF MAINTAINING JEWISH I LOVE TO CONVINCE PEOPLE BUTE IT'S GOOD FOR THEM, LIFE TO CONTRIBUTE IT MAKES THEM FEEL MORE JEWISH. IT MAKES THEM GROW."

of State Henry A, Kissinger who has apparently gotten Egyptian President Anwar Sadat to agree to soften the boycott actions against Israel should now go to work on his fellow Cabinet member, Morton,

## **Behind The Scenes** At The United Nations By DAVID HOROWITZ A World-Union Press Featu

UNITED NATIONS (WUP) -- For the first time in UN history, the Arab States find them-selves split over Israel. They have always been divided, but never over Israel.

This was clearly evident as the 30th session of General Assembly opened on Tuesday, the September 16, when the radical Arab delegations, led by Syria, Iraq and Libya appeared to snub the more moderate Arab missions headed

by Egypt. The radical Arabs with the PLO Observers, fortified by the Soviets, did not hide their rage and bitterness over Egypt's acceptance of the latest Sinai accord which to them is tantamount to a betrayal of the Arab Nation's goal to undo the Jewish State. To them, the Egyptian action means only one thing, namely, Cairo's recog-nition of Israel as a sovereign state in the Middle East prior to the signing of a final peace agreement. And in this they are not wrong, hence their strong criticism of President Sadat and their vicious attack upon mediator Kissinger and the United States who maneuvered the accord.

The first indication of this new Arab split came about last week when, during the Special session on Development and International Economic Cooperation, the Syrian spokesman, Mow-affak Allaf, deviated from the set rules of the conference by entering into a lengthy attack on the Sinai accord, and, by clear inference, on President Sadat for having agreed to it and thus

President Sadat for having agreed to it and thus brought about a division in the Arab world. Bemoaned Allaf: "The latest agreement arranged by the United States between Egypt and Israel, after more than 20 months of maneuvers, has proved that the main aim of Zionism and colonialism is to freeze the status up in the region perpetuate the Israeli occur. quo in the region, perpetuate the Israeli occupation and sow the seeds of dissension and dis-cord among the Arab forces of confrontation prior to their liquidation one after the other..."

Then, bitterly criticizing Egypt, the pro-PLO Syrian declared: "If the price of liberating a few miles of territory is the virtual elimination of the state of war, the pledge (by Sadat) not to resort to force, the lifting of the blockade and the boycott, the perpetuation of the blockade and the boycott, the perpetuation of the presence of the emergency forces, and \$2,500 million, what will be the price of the liberation of the remaining 99 per cent of the occupied Arab territories? This deal proves, at least econo-mically, that aggression is the surest and fastest road to wealth and prosperity."

The concluding one third of Mr. Allaf's speech was devoted to a visuperative onslaught



(Continued from Page 1)

percent in interest. The original note called for payment in full by 1975. So far Greenspun hasn't paid back penny number one. Pretty good, eh?

Back in 1972, Hughes' lawyers, Lionel and Sawyer, returned a token \$30,000 offered by Greenspun, and proceeded to levy against the Las Vegas Sun, which had been named as collateral for the loan.

A very scared Greenspun, fearful of losing his only source of power, got an injunction to stop the seizure and counter - claimed for \$142,000,000. Hank produced a subsequent note, dated shortly before Maheu was fired in 1971, which extended the payments for the loan until the year 2004.

Any consideration for the extention was not revealed but it came out later than on the day in 1967 he received the \$4,000,000 Greenspun issued a \$50,000 check to Maheu. Hank blandly testi-fied the \$50,000 was a loan, which incidentally, has never been repaid to this day.

Greenspun won the injunction hearing, which kept him in control of the L.V. Sun. After about 30 months the trial started in Ely Dis-trict Court. On the very first day Greenspun challenged the right of Lionel and Sawyer to represent Hughes. The District Court Judge denied Greenspun's motion. Greenspun ap-pealed to the Supreme Court, which also turned back Greenspun's motion.

Last week an Associated Press story stated a new date was about to be set for Greenspun's suit against Hughes. This, of course, is in-correct. It is Hughes' suit against Green-spun to recover the \$4,000,000. Greenspun's is a counter-suit. If it were Greenspun's suit, why did he.

Greenspun, after about two and a half years for the case to come to trial, cause a delay of many more months on a mere technicality. never had a chance of holding up, and which was solely for purposes of delay.

It is interesting to note that many news-papers, radio and TV stations carried the erroneous story, INCLUDING HOWARD HUGHES' TV 8 in Las Vegas. The signifi-cance of mis-stating it as "GREENSPUN'S CASE AGAINST HUGHES" is to create the impression with the public that it was Greenspun who was harmed by the billionaire.

Nothing could be further from the truth.

on Israel, Zionism and the United States the only such onslaught during the entire special session. The Iraqi and Saudi Arabian dele-gates, who addressed the session later in the day, refrained from disturbing the equilibrium of the conference. There was not a single word about Israel, Zionism or the Sinai accord in their speeches.

Thus, as we stated at the outset, for the first time since 1947 and 1948 here at the UN the Arabs find themselves in two opposing camps on the issue of Israel. The radical Arab states --Syria, Iraq, Libya, the Yemen and possibly Algeria -- fully supported by the Soviet Union and the Communist satellites along with a diminishing number of Asians and Africans, will now lack the full support of Egypt, the most powerful and certainly the most important of all Arab nations, without whose alliance they know only too well that they can never realize their dream of liquidating the Jewish State.

Egypt -- the radical Arabs with their PLO murderers realize -- has, through the Sinai accord, won the support of Mighty America, and not only of the U.S., but also of the moderate Arab nations, Saudi Arabia, Tunisia, Morocca and most of the Emirates in the Gulf region. Jordan still remains a big question - mark; but it is doubtful that King Hussein will let him-self fall into a Syrian-Soviet orbit. Both Arab self fall into a Svrian-Soviet orbit. Both Arab

LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE