

J.W.Y. 80TH NATL. CONVENTION UNDERWAY AT FRONTIER IN VEGAS

S, USSR EXCHANGE MIDEAST IDEAS

WASHINGTON (WNS)--The U.S. and the USSR are continueing to "exchange ideas" on the Mideast because "it is clear that no final solution can be achieved by either of the parties by itself," stated Henry Kissinger, who also said the Russians were skeptical of any results emerging from the step-bystep negotiation process between Israel and Egypt but were not actively opposing them.

of any results emerging from the step-bystep negotiation process between Israel and
Egypt but were not actively opposing them.

Meanwhile in Israel, Defense Minister
Shimon Peres said Israel's latest proposal
is as far as it can go in making concessions.
He said it was now up to Egypt. "If Egypt's
latest proposal proved to be its last—then
that would be proof that Egypt does not want
an agreement," Peres said. But both Peres
and Foreign Minister Yigal Allon maintained
they did not believe that Egypt's position is
its last word. Peres has also said that he
favors an American presence in the buffer
zone between Syria and Israel as well as in
the Sinai. He said such a presence could
expedite an interim accord between Israel and
Syria which will be more difficult to achieve
than the one with Egypt. Peres said he prefers an American presence in the Sinai over
United Nations troops because the Egyptians
would hesitiate to demand, unilaterally the
withdrawal of American troops as they did
UN troops in May, 1967.

CONGRESS CONCERNED ABOUT ISRAEL IN U.N.

WASHINGTON: The House adjourned until

YAHRZEITS TONIGHT AT BETH SHOLOM

(Friday, Aug. 8, 1975)
Morris A, Steinberg
William Bogg
Samuel Stein
Israel Spiegel
Mike Baronbaum
Max Weinstein
Charles Levine
Henry Ratner
Barnett Goldman
Paul Johnson
Louis Solomon
Ted M, Ellenson
Frank Nadler
Jerome Schwartz
Louis Seideman
Michael Berlin
Max Weiss
Eliezer Wolpert
Dr, Earl Gould

Addie Fox Corn
Esther Ruth Isler
Rebecca Bergman
Jennie Cavadlo
Fanny Weitzman
Neva Gutterman
Sadie Oppenheim
Goldie B. Tarlin
Rae Oloff

September without acting on a resolution urging the U.S. to consider withdrawing from the UN General Assembly if Israel is suspended or expelled.

The resolution introduced by Rep. Thomas O'Neill (D. Mass.), the House Majority Leader, and Rep. John Rhodes (R. Ariz.), the Minority Leader, was co-sponsored by 231 of the 435 House members. A vote could still come when the House returns after Labor Day.

Meanwhile, Rep.
Bella S. Abzug (D.NY)
said she was "extremely pleased and
gratified" that 10
Black Congressional
leaders and a number
of peace, disarmament
and women's groups
has issued statements
strongly upholiding Israel's right to remain
in the UN.

SUPPORT YOUR LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE NOW IN 11TH YEAR,



JWV NATL COMMANDER PAUL RIBNER WITH ISRAELITE'S JACK TELL

BY JACK TELL

As some 2,500 members of Jewish War Veterans convened in Las Vegas for the 80th Annual national convention, Judge Paul Ribner, National Commander, told the LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE that Egypt's recent shift from the Russians was for the sole purpose of gaining favorable reaction from American public.

Public.

Ribner also stated that Egypt's pressure recently on the pro-Arab African nations not to force the ousting of Israel from the United Nations General Assembly, again was due to a fear of negative reaction from the American public.

It appears from what Ribner told us, that the Arabs, at long last, are more concerned with what the American Public wants than negotiated deals with the Ford Administration. This is a step in the right direction, and we hope it continues, because politics and diplomacy be damned, what's good for the (Continued on Page 3).

CONGRESS EXTENDS CREDITS TO ISRAEL

WASHINGTON (WNS)
Both Houses of
Congress have voted
to extend the authority to the President
to sell arms and provide credits to Israel
from Dec. 31, 1975
when it was to expire,
until June 30, 1977.

The extension was contained in a \$31.2 billion appropriations bill for the Department of Defense. The extension was worked out

by a Senate House conference committee. House members at first objected to having the section for Israel included in a defense appropriation bill. But they went along after Senate members argued that failure to approve the extension "might be constured as unwillingness of the Congress to maintain the status quo in the Middle East."

TELL TALES

"One Man Plus The Truth Constitutes A Majority"

BY JACK TELL



Greenspun Robs Readers

Looking at it from every angle to determine why Hank Greenspun would bury the facts of an important news story on the bottom of Page 4 while blowing up conjecture from one unquestionably biased, to a large headline on Page 1, and we arrive at the conclusion the warped, dishonest presentation to readers had an ulterior purpose metivated by greed.

This deliberate distortion could not have been unintentional newspaper stupidity because

This deliberate distortion could not have been unintentional newspaper stupidity because the defamation of the person named, Howard Hughes, is definitely of financial benefit to both the defamer, Robert Maheu, and the publisher, Hank Greenspun, himself.

The important news story at that day, of course, was the testimony given behind closed doors by Maheu to the Senate Committe investigating the C.I.A. plot to murder Fidel Castro. That is why reporters from every important newspaper and news syndicate attended a press interview called by Maheu. That is what the American public wanted to know. What testimony did Maheu give, concerning his involvement with the mafia? Maheu told newsmen he admitted to the Senate committee that in 1960, on the payroll of the CIA, he had contacted Mafia Chieftans, who had agreed to kill Castro.

What has this to do with Howard Hughes?

What has this to do with Howard Hughes? Maneu, who operated a consulting agency at the time, was also employed by Hughes. He probably had other clients. But Maheu managed to inject into his story, to interviewing reporters concerned with his appear ance before the Senate committee, the fact that he told Hughes of the plot to kill Castro back in 1960. Why was it so important for Maheu to plant this extraneous information into a story where it did not belong? The answer is simple.

Maheu, we all know, was Hughes' Chief of Nevada operations from 1967 to 1971. Hughes in a telephone conversation said" Maheu stole me blind". Maheu sued and the lower court awarded \$1,800,000 judgement, which is now up for appeal.

Certainly, if Hughes did not know of Maheu's prior association with Mafia Chieftans, he could introduce new evidence on the appeal, showing Maheu's background.

We do not know whether Hughes was aware that Maheu was associated with these nefarious characters and was plotting a murder with them. But we hardly think that Hughes, whose reputation for business astuteness is a known

(Continued on Page 4)