

## TELL TALES

(Continued from Page 4)

second "alleged" note, also was never filed.

What the WSJ story does not reveal, but what we have shown on many occasions is that the second "alleged" note called for the first payment of principal, \$100,000 in the year 1980.

Now let us return to the WSJ story:

NO MATTER WHICH LOAN AGREEMENT IS CURRENTLY IN FORCE, IT IS CLEAR THAT MR. GREENSPUN SECURED A VERY FAVORABLE LOAN FROM THE HUGHES OPERATIONS, A LOAN GENERAL MOTORS COULDN'T HAVE GOTTEN AT ANY BANK, WHILE MR. MAHEU WAS IN CONTROL OF THEM.

Mr. Maheu, of course, is Robert Maheu, the deposed chief of Hughes Nevada operations. Now our readers will realize why we were so insistent the transactions smacked of suspicion if not fraud. The same interpretation, as given by the WSJ, could be given to ALL of Greenspun's acquisitions of cash from Hughes through Maheu.

Now we come to the real reason we had to expose the corruption, and greed and disregard of responsibility by a newspaper publisher for his public. Here's how the WSJ put it:

DURING THAT TIME MR. GREENSPUN WAS ONE OF LAS VEGAS' GREATEST SUPPORTERS OF MR. MAHEU AND THE HUGHES OPERATIONS. BUT SINCE MR. MAHEU'S DISMISSAL MR. GREENSPUN HAS BEEN ONE OF THE CITY'S MOST VICIOUS OPPONENTS OF THE HUGHES NEVADA EMPIRE.

If the Las Vegas Sun had just been reporting the news at the time, we would have reported the turn-about and then shrugged: "So what if billionaire Howard Hughes was clipped for a mere \$10,000,000. Hughes is a big boy. He must know what he is doing." What made us repeat, over and over again, what Greenspun was doing, was a newspaperman's contempt for the reading public. We had to expose this fraud in our profession, if we were to continue in it, with a clear conscience.

Here is another foul deed we brought to the attention of our readers. The WSJ story tells it all, exactly as we did on many occasions.

IN ADDITION TO THE \$4 MILLION LOAN, HUGHES TOOL AND MR. GREENSPUN AGREED IN 1967 THAT THE COMPANY WOULD PAY THE SUN \$500,000 ON DEMAND FOR ADVERTISING FOR THE HOTEL-CASINOS THAT WOULD RUN OVER THE NEXT 15 YEARS. HUGHES-NEVADA DIDN'T EVEN GET A DISCOUNT, APPARENTLY; THE ADS WERE TO RUN AT RATES PREVAILING WHEN THEY APPEARED IN THE PAPER. THE AGREEMENT WAS NOT ANNOUNCED AT THE TIME IT WAS NEGOTIATED, AND HUGHES TOOL OFFICIALS SAY THEY DIDN'T DISCOVER IT UNTIL EARLIER THIS YEAR. FURTHERMORE, THEY SAY, THE SUN HAD BEEN BILLING THE HOTEL-CASINOS FOR ALL THE ADVERTISING THAT IT WAS RUNNING, INSTEAD OF APPLYING THE BILLING AGAINST THE \$500,000. IN AN INTERVIEW, MR. GREENSPUN ACKNOWLEDGES HE WASN'T APPLYING AD CHARGES AGAINST THE PREPAYMENT TILL EARLY THIS YEAR.

We could almost cry when we read the above in a paper like the WSJ. Here we are running a legitimate newspaper, the LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE, our advertisers constantly coerced by Greenspun, threatened by those seeking his favor, and we have to struggle to get out each week's edition. We have a constant, daily, battle with our bank account, and a punk publisher, like Greenspun picks up a half-million in advance advertising WHICH HE DOES NOT HONOR FOR FOUR YEARS.

IN COURT PROCEEDINGS HERE, MR. GREENSPUN TESTIFIED THAT HE REQUESTED THE LOAN FROM MR. HUGHES. MR. MAHEU FORWARDED A REQUEST FOR A MULTIMILLION-DOLLAR LOAN FOR MR. GREENSPUN "AT 6% OR LESS" IN A HAND-WRITTEN MEMO TO MR. HUGHES IN JULY OF 1967. BUT MR. MAHEU INSISTS THAT THE ACTUAL TERMS OF THE LOAN WERE NEGOTIATED AT THE INSISTENCE OF MR. HUGHES AND MR. GREENSPUN SAYS IT WAS MR. HUGHES WHO WANTED THE LOAN KEPT SECRET. NEITHER MR. HUGHES NOR HUGHES TOOL OFFICIALS WILL COMMENT ON THIS ASSERTION.

What else can Maheu say at this time? Any other explanation would defeat his own legal action for \$50,000,000, claiming a lifetime contract of employment.

IN ADDITION TO THE \$4 MILLION LOAN AND THE ADVANCE ON ADVERTISING, HUGHES TOOL ALSO PURCHASED A LAS VEGAS

## LETTERS

My Dear Mr. Tell;

I was sorry to hear of "Teacher" Aaron Gold's resignation. Having lived all over the nation I know that we have less anti-semitism here than any where in the U.S. of A.. I had always attributed this to Rabbi Gold who was the only Jewish Leader that I ever heard of to give a testimonial dinner and party for transferred Catholic Priest. As a Christian "Bar-Room Evangelist" I was disappointed in not seeing a glowing eulogy of the man in the papers (especially yours), and not even the reason for his resignation?

I am the only candidate in district 20 who cared enough to run two years ago. I ran then on the platform of; "One man - One vote" should also mean you only have to vote for "One - man" and no at - large districts. Without winning the assembly did it for us although gerrymandered.

I am now running on the platform of "Return Government to Local Control" and "A super hard line on felonious crime!" Enclosed is the press release that was misquoted in both the R.J. and the Sun.

Although I can not afford an ad. in your paper I do seek you endorsement because even though most of my Jewish friends and neighbors are in the military and vote in other states they can work for me and influence their neighbors.

As two years ago I am not trying to sell myself by my ideas and Ideals. I care much less for the honor of being an assemblyman than for working for my fellow man. As E.T. Seaton said:

BECAUSE I HAVE KNOWN THE TORMENT OR THIRST I WOULD DIG A WELL WHERE OTHERS MIGHT DRINK.

Sincerely,

Don Hartwell Shaw

P.S. Once near Washington D.C. I worked as a Fry Cook in a Kosher Deli and the local Rabbi's monthly inspection of me was "Something Else."

Why don't we have a kosher (not just kosher style) restaurant in Las Vegas?

Don

(ED. NOTE: I know I am breaching my faith as a newspaperman, but I cannot comment on Rabbi Gold because I respect my religion above and beyond anyone or anything associated. It is kind of a "White lie" to my conscience.

Reference to Kosher restaurant is heartbreaking.

Your resume will be printed, in full, in the Israelite, but will have to wait its turn. It should not be considered an endorsement. J.T.)

Dear Sir:

Thank you for having permanently in Israel sent me your fine paper. as of this month. I have always enjoyed it. Sincerely, Rabbi Ervin Birnbaum However I am leaving the country to reside (Rosedale, N.Y.)

TELEVISION STATION AND A COUNTRY CLUB FROM MR. GREENSPUN. HUGHES TOOL NOW IS TRYING TO RESCIND THE COUNTRY CLUB ACQUISITION ON THE GROUND THAT PART OF THE CLUB WASN'T OWNED BY MR. GREENSPUN IN THE FIRST PLACE.

Greenspun must have layed awake nights, scheming up new ways to "take" Howard Hughes, through Maheu.

Country club deal, for which Greenspun got \$2,225,000 in cash is really something. The same day that the sale was made public, Maury Stevens, who since has become a Greenspun crony, confided to us that "Greenspun was about to lose the property under foreclosure - ANY DAY."

THE LITIGATION BETWEEN TOOLCO AND MR. GREENSPUN IS JUST PART OF A MOUNTAIN OF LEGAL WORK THAT HAS GROWN OUT OF THE HUGHES MISADVENTURES IN NEVADA.

Greenspun's suit is based on the premise that Howard Hughes would never testify in his own behalf. Even so, it is nothing more than a delaying action for the repayment of the \$4,000,000 loan upon which, not a single payment has been made to date.

MR. MAHEU IS SUING HIS FORMER BOSS, TOOLCO, AND THE HUGHES PUBLIC RELATIONS FIRM FOR LIBEL AND SLANDER; THE CASE GROWS OUT OF A TELEPHONE NEWS CONFERENCE EARLIER THIS YEAR IN WHICH

## Nevada Nuggets

BY JACK TELL

1000 TO 1: We saw it happen. A chap who began with \$95 at the craps table was down to his last cart wheel. In disgust he threw it on the two aces and started to walk away. He was called back. The two ones had come up. The payoff was \$30 and then he bet \$10 each on the high (12), low (2), and eleven. The shooter threw "snake eyes" (2), for a return of \$300. His next bet was \$50 each on the same three propositions. The dice his a "natural" (11). The bettor now had \$900. He bet \$10 each on the same numbers and also made an equal bet for the dealers. Box cars (12) came up. The tokens amounted to \$300 for the boys. The thoroughly, by now, UN-disgusted gambler walked out with \$1140.

HIGH ROLLERS: A few days ago, on a mid-week early a. m. quiet session at Caesars Palace, a soft-spoken group stepped up to a "21" table. The initial request was for \$30,000 in chips. The limit of a single wager at CP is \$1000, doubling most other casinos, except the Horseshoe's \$2,000. The pit bosses at CP broke out the \$500 chips, which are pink and grey. The gambling visitors, owners of gambling houses in Korea, lost the initial investment, thanked the dealers, and went off to their rooms. When a pit boss was asked what happened, he answered: "We won the first battle, but they'll be back, and who knows how the war will turn out?"

JACKPOT JOCKIES: From Bandon, Oregon, Janie Robbins, a sprite 70, sends us a story about slot machines that took place 20 years ago in Twin Falls, Idaho. A hamburger joint named Boo's featured about 30 slot machines. An elderly couple arrived each day at about 10 o'clock ordered cups of coffee at a counter stool and just studied the play on the machines. When one was vacated by a player, and the couple thought it was "ready" they commenced. According to Janie, the couple made a living from the jackpots they hit.

You would be surprised how many "snoopers" we have in Las Vegas, who are careful with their slot machine investment. They wait, and wait and wait, before taking chances.

Personally, we do not recommend a machine that has been played heavily without hitting a jackpot. We suggest playing machines that have been hitting, on the theory there is nothing gone wrong, or "fixed" for them not to hit.

And so Janie Robbins gets added to our list complimentary, as a subscriber. Thank you for the story, and thank you for the gracious letter on Judaism. Its nice to make new friends like you.

What's your story on gambling to fascinate the world? Jot it down and drop it in the mail to P.O. Box 14096, Las Vegas, Nev. 89114. For your trouble we'll send you a free subscription.

MR. HUGHES CALLED MR. MAHEU "A NO-GOOD, DISHONEST SON OF A BITCH"; WHO, HE ADDED, "STOLE ME BLIND."

You all remember how Greenspun made every attempt to discredit the voice of Hughes on the phone. Greenspun, in his newspaper, and in a television interview, stated flatly, he would not trust four of the seven newspapermen, ALL of whom declared positively, it was Hughes speaking.

There you have it, right from the Wall St. Journal, which checked, re-checked and triple-checked every one of its claims. Now will well-wishers stop worrying about the Israelite being sued by Greenspun.

As an aftermath we must report on a statement in the Las Vegas Review-Journal by Nevada Republican Chairman Walt Casey. It concerns Nevada's Democratic Governor, Mike O'Callaghan, who we maintain is nothing more than a tool of Hank Greenspun's.

According to Casey, the governor "is lukewarm toward Democratic Candidate for President, Sen. George McGovern." The Casey statement went on: "O'Callaghan was in favor of Sen. Henry Jackson of Washington because Las Vegas Sun Publisher Herman Greenspun was for Jackson, and O'Callaghan USUALLY GOES ALONG WITH WHAT HANK WANTS."

Which is exactly what we have been saying right along.

Case closed.