

**TELL TALES**  
(Continued from Page 4)

From this it is apparent that Justice Reid by his action, is saving the county money, and not wasting time in his courtroom.

Insofar as the second portion of Digilio's charges are concerned, we find Justice Reid asking not to have his court cluttered up with cases which he deems unconstitutional. D.A. Woofter feels the question of constitutionality is not within the jurisdiction of the Justice of Peace court. We disagree. We feel, also, Justice Reid is performing a valuable service by his stand. Perhaps Digilio, in the light of these observations and facts, will alter his view.

At this point we state, unequivocally, that District Attorney Roy Woofter is an honest and competent public servant. He is an able prosecutor, dedicated to the public interest. We are proud of the support we gave towards his election. He has not failed in one iota. He runs a tight office and performs his job well. His differences of opinion with Justice Reid are to be taken in the same vein as contrary views expressed by the U.S. Supreme Court, where four to three decisions are frequent occurrences.

The diatribe of Paul Price against Justice Reid is a horse of a different odor. Here we have a deliberate attempt to malign an honest jurist.

The tactic used by Price was the same degenerate method of his boss, publisher Hank Greenspun in a vulgar report on Hughes Tool Company director Chester Davis, in applying for a gambling license. A minor infringement was made on the application. Greenspun blew it up with: "A liar in one sense makes him a liar for all purposes."

The minor infringement was cleared up almost immediately. On many, many occasions, since, the State Gambling Commission has stated over and over again: "There is no objection, whatsoever, against anyone in the application for Hughes-gambling licenses."

Greenspun has never once corrected the erroneous impression he deliberately created. That's how it stands to this day in the files of the corrupt Las Vegas Sun.

Now back to Paul Price and his evil disposition towards Justice Reid.

It was a possession of narcotics case. Price stated: "This is precisely what happened. (Las Vegas Police Officer) Stephens hopped a fence and made his way unobserved to a window from which he could survey the interior -- The window was covered with a sort of compressed material, that, according to the officer, was old, had cracks in it, and offered a completely adequate view of the scene."

The case was recessed for three days to give the defendant time to produce witnesses. On that date on a statement by the public defender: "--an examination of the premises seems to show that it would have been impossible for the officer to see it."

Justice Reid suggested a short recess for the Court, defense counsel, Deputy District Attorney, Court Clerk, Bailiff and Court Reporter to visit the scene. There was no objection by the prosecutor.

Upon returning to the courtroom 20 minutes later, Justice Reid said: "--observed this hole in the plywood panel --. The officer could not possibly have seen what he testified to as having observed.---

Price interprets this as: "The court said the policeman was a liar. There is no other way to look at it."

We say Price is a liar when he makes this interpretation. The policeman could have been mistaken. Witnesses appear in thousands of cases every day, with sworn testimony giving exactly opposite views of what had happened at a particular time. Both views cannot be correct. In some cases each of the sworn opinions are in conflict with the sworn testimony of a third and even fourth and fifth testimony under oath. Not all of these witnesses are liars. Many are mistaken, and honestly so.

But Price goes further: "To brand Stevens as a liar is to brand the entire police department."

Do you see the same degenerate reasoning by Price, as we pointed out earlier by Greenspun? It starts with a deliberately false premise and continues on and on, ad infinitum.

Now Price goes beyond the official transcript with "information" not brought out at the trial and consequently could not be known by

## Real Jewish History

BY MAX I. DIMONT

(CONTINUED)

Our second myth concerns the prevalence of "anti-Semitism" in the Medieval world. All too many Jewish historians portray the Jews during this age as suffering lambs prey to anti-Semitic wolves. What these injustice-seeking Jewish historians forget in their concentrated search for Jewish calamities -- what they forget is that this was an age with plenty of injustice and calamity for all. Until they themselves were Christianized, the invading Huns and Vandals, Franks and Vikings never inquired into the religious beliefs of those they killed. If the Jews expected the invading barbarians to ask them "You Jewish?" and then set them free if the answer was "Yes," he was expecting a little too much. The invading gentlemen from the East slaughtered Jew and Christian with equal impartiality.

Everybody ask why the Christians persecuted the Jews in the Middle Ages but nobody asks the real question, Why did the Jews survive in the Middle Ages? It took the Church 1,000 years -- from 300 to 1300 -- to establish Christianity on the European continent with Bible and sword -- mostly by sword. The Church gave two choices: Conversion or death. The resulting slaughter in the name of Christ in that thousand years in unbelievable. Everyone on the European continent was either dead or Christianized except one people -- the Jews. They were alive and non-Christian.

Why were the Jews not exterminated in the same way non-Jewish people were exterminated when they refused conversion to Christianity? After all, the Jews were the most vulnerable people in Europe. They had no arms and not armies. Obviously the Christians did not want to exterminate them. Obviously the Jews were

Justice Reid. Paul stated: "The fact is that Reid, accompanied by his bailiff, believed by some to be defendant's attorney, visited the scene after the window covering had been replaced."

The official transcript states clearly, the deputy district attorney visited the scene. Paul is an outrageous liar on this score. According to his own barometer of judgement, that makes Price a liar in everything else he says.

Paul's column: "The fact is that Reid --- visited the scene after the window covering had been replaced by sheet metal."

Where did Paul get his information? There was no sworn testimony to this effect. After Justice Reid and the deputy D.A. returned to the courtroom, the official transcript states: "The court, along with both counsel and members of the Court OBSERVED THIS HOLE IN THE PLYWOOD PANEL, which is approximately on inch in diameter or less."

There is no question Price has committed a horrendous miscarriage of justice.

Then Paul resorts to his familiar pattern of quoting vague and unidentified persons: "Some people believe this is peculiar ---He allegedly told --- working on the window when Reid visited the scene---justice of peace asked him no questions --- apparently Reid went directly back to the courtroom and handed down his decision."

Price's infamous accusations, according to the official transcript, point the finger, not at Justice Reid but at the Deputy D.A., who, if you believe Paul's outlandish, unsupported statements, never brought out the discrepancies when trial was resumed, 20 minutes later.

Then Paul quotes a handwritten comment on the Case Disposition tacked to a bulletin board in police headquarters. It is unsigned, and, of course, unsworn to, but this is the "undisputable" evidence Paul sets up to refute the official transcript with sworn testimony.

"What a bummer," says Paul.

We agree. It sure is. When the respected profession of journalism, can be so twisted and defiled as to ruin an honest man's reputation on so flimsy evidence, we are proud to set the record straight. It was a bummer, all right, a 100 per cent bummer, pointed directly at Price.

We are thankful for being the only newspaper in the area to correct such injustices and are grateful for the opportunity.

But we keep asking, "When will the public realize who the true frauds are in Las Vegas?"

protected. By whom? Equally obvious -- by the Church. This is another area that calls for more light and less heat from both Jewish and Christian historians.

The Crusades offer us a good example of the nonsense that results when complicated historical events are explained only in terms of anti-Semitism. If a Chinaman were to read about the Crusades in Christian histories, he would never realize that Jews existed during that period. If that same Chinaman were to read a Jewish history book of the period, he would get the idea that the Crusades were organized by Christians for the sole purpose of killing Jews.

Which is the true version? I must say the Christian version is closer to the facts than the Jewish one, because the killing of Jews plays a minor role in the history of the Crusades.

Jewish historians concede that not more than 200,000 Jewish lives were lost during the 200 years of the Crusades. This amounts to about 1,000 casualties a year, which is an infinitesimal percentage of the general killing during those two centuries.

In one of the Crusades, for instance, over a million Greek Catholics were slaughtered by the Crusaders when Constantinople fell into their hands. In another Crusade, one million French Catholics suspected of heresy -- 99% of a group known as the Albigensians -- were exterminated in less than 20 years. Only a few thousand survived. This holocaust was more devastating to the Albigensians than the Nazi holocaust is to the Jews -- on a percentage basis.

The grandeur of Jewish history does not lie in suffering but in its transcendence of suffering. It is not the Crusades or its calamities that are important to Christian and Jewish Medieval history but what followed. In the wake of the Crusades, Jews, Christians, and Mohammedans mingled in the West European market places of ideas. This mingling gave birth to the Renaissance, to a new humanism, to a new reign of ideas. It is the Jewish Participation in these portentous events that should be assessed by historians, not how many Jews were or were not killed during the Crusades.

(TO BE CONTINUED)

**WORLD'S LARGEST PRODUCER  
OF CASINO DICE**



870-2782

3672 SOUTH HIGHLAND  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

**Before You Decide**

**WE URGE ALL READERS TO CONSIDER**

*Walt Epprecht's*

**LAS VEGAS**

**Dodge**

**WHERE THEY WILL BEAT  
ANY DEAL ANYWHERE**

**ESPECIALLY FOR ISRAELITE READERS**

**3470 Boulder Highway**

**457-1061**

**ASK JACK TELL, WHO DRIVES ONE**