

**LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE**  
OF NEVADA

PHONE 870-1255

Published Every Friday in Las Vegas, Nevada  
Price per copy 15¢ - Per year \$7 - 2 Years \$12  
P.O. BOX 14096 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114  
Editor and Publisher . . . . . Jack Tell  
Business Manager . . . . . Bea Tell, 870-1255  
Vegas News . . . . . Helene Stadler, 384-3685

Jack Entratter, Trude Feldman, Jay Tell,  
Ark Tan and Beverly King Pollock

2ND CLASS POSTAGE PAID AT LAS VEGAS, NEV.  
Member American Jewish Press Association  
Member of Worldwide News Service  
Now in the 7th Year of Continuous Weekly Publication  
Serving the Jewish Community of the State of Nevada.



JEWISH CHAPLAIN, WHO IS SERVED AND SUPPLIED BY THE COMMISSION ON JEWISH CHAPLAINCY OF THE NATIONAL JEWISH WELFARE BOARD, HOLDS THE MICROPHONE AS A MEMBER OF HIS SUNDAY SCHOOL FOR CHILDREN OF JEWISH MILITARY PERSONNEL READS FROM THE HAGGADAH DURING A SEDER IN PEARL HARBOR'S ALOHA CHAPEL FOR THE BASE'S JEWISH MILITARY CONGREGATION.

**TELL TALES**

"One Man Plus The Truth Constitutes A Majority"

BY JACK TELL



(Continued from Page 1)

was proper and meaningful for Justice John Mowbray to disagree with you in an excellent contrary opinion.

In your opinion, Justice Zenoff, you wrote: "I maintain he (Babcock) was in excess of his jurisdiction and that it was not mere error, (comma at this point is very important to show your meaning and intent) that----." And then you go on to explain with four numbered reasons for your ruling.

No where, in any of the opinions, is there the slightest suggestion of bias or wrong doing on the part of Judge Babcock. But Greenspun, who has vowed "to get" Babcock, prefers to convey an entirely different impression, no matter who is harmed in carrying out his intent to discredit Judge Babcock.

You, Justice Zenoff, are the goat, and we ask you for the sake of justice, and decency, and your own future as a public servant, and the entire Jewish community, to immediately correct the improper status given you by Greenspun.

Greenspun's disregard for propriety has been well established in the past with his numerous outbursts of insolent and contemptuous arrogance defiling every rule of proper journalism. On every occasion previously, the Sun publisher's intense hatred, coupled with established ill-will, which superceded all bounds of reason, merely served to show himself up as a dishonest reporter using the medium of the press to feather his own nest.

But here we have Greenspun involving you, Justice Zenoff, and your own outstanding reputation and background. In reference to your "mere error" opinion, Greenspun asks: "What could it have been? I think here the less legally oriented could speculate on what the bias entailed."

Surely, Dave, you are aware of the entire Clark County Bar Association passing a unanimous, unprecedented resolution stating Hank Greenspun "exceeded fair comment and the bounds of propriety." The resolution stated Greenspun portrayed our highest court "as having questioned the integrity and impartiality of Judge Babcock." The resolution calls it "unjust criticism" and states "Babcock's professional and personal integrity is above reproach."

Greenspun calls Babcock a "bad apple." By the same token, aspersion is cast upon Justice Mowbray, who upheld Judge Babcock's ruling.

Dave, as Chief Justice, you must not, you cannot remain silent and allow that blur, that blot created by Greenspun against the jurisprudence in the State of Nevada to go unanswered.

We will not dignify the bleatings of Greenspun's yes man, columnist Paul Price by quoting the strong language he used to support his boss in besmirching the Zenoff name and reputation along with that of Judge Babcock.

Do something Dave, and like I pleaded with you in a headline several months back, "To Save Nevada from Greenspun," I am now pleading again for you to save your own reputation and that of all other Nevada judges from the despicable, false accusations of Hank Greenspun.

Respectfully,  
Jack Tell

(ED. NOTE: Last week we stated there was one dissenting vote to the Bar Association resolution condemning Greenspun, from a lawyer who wanted more time. The single dissenting attorney was Mario Ventura, who insisted on making the wording a MUCH STRONGER CONDEMNATION AGAINST GREENSPUN. J.T.)

NEW YORK--The Leadership Conference of National Jewish Women's Organizations, representing one million American Jewish women, today appealed to Soviet Minister of Culture Ekaterina Furtseva, the highest-ranking woman in the U.S.S.R., to help free Sylva Zalmanson and Raiza Palatnik, Jewish women imprisoned in the Soviet Union.

**Jewish Poor Re-Surveyed**

The Office of Economic Opportunity this week announced a grant of \$77,000 to the Hasidic Corporation for Urban Concern for a one-year survey of the needs of New York City's Jewish poor. This is to be commended. It shows, at last, a recognition by the government that there are Jewish poor and they do have needs.

But why another survey? We already have the survey by Mrs. Anne Wolfe, a sociologist and program consultant in the Intergroup Relations and Social Action Department of the American Jewish Committee in Chicago, who ascertained that in New York City there were at least 250,000 Jews living in poverty.

We have had a survey by S. Elly Rosen, executive director of the Association of Jewish Anti-Poverty Workers, which also showed that great numbers of Jews in New York lived at or below the poverty level, and also that they are squeezed out of the OEO programs supposedly provided for all the city's poor.

There has been a similar study by the American Jewish Congress which reached the same conclusion. As did hearings by the OEO and the City's Human Resources Administration, which also reached the conclusion that the City's Jewish poor are improperly and illegally excluded from OEO and City anti-poverty programs.

What is needed is not \$77,000 for a survey of earlier surveys, or a study of earlier studies or a study of earlier surveys. What is needed is a program for the Jewish poor which everyone admits exist in large numbers.

What the Jewish poor need is jobs, educational opportunities and training programs, and the right to participate in existing programs for the poor today. They need a revision of the OEO programs so that benefits provided by those programs now, and in the future, will be administered on an equal basis to all in need including the Jewish needy.

**Teeth For Equality**

By ROBERT E. SEGAL

Strange that the new effort in the Senate to put real teeth into the federal anti-bias bill pertaining to jobs hit the eye of the storm of opposition during Brotherhood Week this year. While we were out asserting our belief in the innate goodness of our neighbors, conservative Senators North and South, cheered on by Administration, were fighting hard to keep a needed bite out of enforcement procedure.

In the minds of many, this doesn't make much difference. After all, we see evidence that blacks and Puerto Ricans are in much better position now to find job openings and to start

**ITS RENEWAL TIME RIGHT NOW**  
**I FORGOT TO SEND MY SUBSCRIPTION IN TO**  
LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE - P.O. BOX 14096  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114

Dear Jack:

I am deeply interested in the welfare and growth of our Jewish Community.

Please add my name to your fast growing list of subscribers.

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ APT. No. \_\_\_\_\_

CITY \_\_\_\_\_ STATE \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP \_\_\_\_\_

One year (52 issues) \$ 7.00

Two Years (104 issues) \$12.00

**YOUR RENEWAL IS APPRECIATED**

to move up the ladder. So it seems despite the fact that unemployment among blacks in the U.S. continues twice as severe as among non-blacks. Perhaps we get the illusion of vast improvement because a limited number of highly visible and desirable jobs, long closed to minority group members, and to women, are now open.

Many employers, some union leaders, and a great number of fellow employees evidence enlightened viewpoints regarding the need to make equality of opportunity a reality. Title VII of the revolution Civil Rights Act, passed in 1964, has helped to create a new, fairly favorable climate of opinion. Yet during the past few weeks, when liberal Senators made a strong effort to give the administrators of the law added clout, reaction set in; and we found ourselves back in the familiar old round of weakening amendments and filibuster.

It is quite likely that had Democratic presidential hopefuls not been out campaigning, S-2515, designed to strengthen the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, would have passed earlier as amended. At the height of the Senate fight, only nine more votes were needed to invoke cloture (to end the filibuster); but some of the people hit by the lightning hopes of becoming President weren't around in the clinch.

Technically, the problem is to give the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission power to enforce the anti-bias provisions of Title VII. Up to now, the EEOC, which has done a good job of educating employers and the rest of the public, has just plain lacked enforcement powers. Last year, the House did put through a bill enabling EEOC to go to court petitioning against discriminatory employment practices. Senate Liberals in the 92nd Congress decided to go a step further: they proposed legislation that would empower EEOC to issue cease-and-desist orders. In addition they moved successfully to bring employers of 15 or more workers and union locals with 15 or more members into the field of coverage. The minimum had been 25.

Despite tough opposition by Dixiecrats and the Administration, EEOC has won new strength in enforcement power. Whether the victory will stick will be determined in conferences to iron out differences between House and Senate versions of this most important legislation.

While the battle was going forward, representatives of the Department of Labor tried to prevail upon proponents of the legislation to help kill a section of the bill transferring the functions of the Labor Department's Office of Federal Contract Compliance to the EEOC. Alert legislation watchers got wind of this move and came on strong to thwart such an effort.

Well, there's little light in the tunnel for the EEOC. And what with the womenfolks in the battle to make discrimination on the basis of sex a part of the issue and with more and more people growing concerned about discrimination on the basis of age, the obstructionists in Washington may eventually lose another round. Even Strom Thurmond is making a new kind of joyful noise: "I strongly believe that every American should have a chance to get a job and advance in accordance with his capabilities." True, it's election year; but you never know.