1. 17.15 PAGE FOUR



Jewish Bussing View

What appears to be one of the key issues in the forthcoming presidential election campaign is that of busing. Mr. Nixon has taken the stand that it should not be forced on people. Governor Wallace, pushing from the right, has stated that it should be declared illegal. Recently Senators Ribicoff and Javits made statements on the matter. The former believes the only solution to quality education is through busing and educational integration. Javits backs the President, calling it pragmatic, as if that would excuse any bad situation.

The question touches on the nerves of the American people. The black people would like their children to receive the very best education possible. Their children are bused distances from home to better schools. White children are also bused but most of the opposition to the program comes from white parents. What is or should be the Jewish attitude?

Traditionally, the Jews cannot take a stand against any change which allows a minority to improve its condition. But as Forest Hills has shown there is many a slip between the ideal and the actual. And the actual shows that there are many side-effects to the busing program one of which is additional racial tension. It is easy to be for it, as Mayor Lindsay is, but send his children to private schools because his son goes to the same one he did, and his daughter to the school her mother attended.

In spite of the difficulties, or perhaps because of them, the Jewish people should stand foursquare for quality education for all children, And if busing, even with its hazards, is one way of achieving it, it should be supported. The concept of neighborhood schools, like so much of America's fast changing physical and spiritual scenes, has gone by the board. A broader spectrum, with long-term improvement in mind, can do nothing but good for the country.

## Remember Nan Freeman By ROBERT E. SEGAL

In recent days, members of Cesar Chavez's United Farm Worker's Organizing Committee have been sitting shivah for Nan Freeman, an 18-year-old Jewish idealist who was hit and killed by a truck while picketing at the gate of a sugar firm in Belle Glade, Florida.

Priests and Catholic laymen, ministers and Protestant congregants, rabbis and Jewish worshippers have in varying numbers taken up the cause of unorganized pickers of grapes, lettuce, and tomatoes during the past four years over the vehement protests of large farm owners.

ITS RENEWAL TIME RIGHT NOW -LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE - P.O. BOX 14096

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114.	
Dear Jack:	
I am deeply interested in the welfare and growth of	l
our Jewish Community.	[
Please add my name to your fast growing list of sub-	l

NAM	E	PHONE
ADD	RESSAPT. 1	
CITY	STATE	_ZIP
	DOne year (52 issues)	\$ 7.00
	Two Years (104 issues)	\$12.00
YOUR	RENEWAL IS APPRE	CIATED -



1.1.100 CALL\*123

LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE

AT JERUSALEM SEMINAR ON THE PREVEN-TION OF BLINDNESS, LEFT TO RIGHT: DR. OYIN OLURIN, OF NIGERIA; DR. D. MIL-LINGA, OF TANZANIA, WHO SPENT SEVERAL YEARS TRAINING AS A SPECIALIST OPH-THALMOLOGIST AT HADASSAH IN JERU-SALEM; AND DR. D. H. LIM, OPHTHAL-MOLOGIST FROM THE PHILLIPPINES.

Claims of exploitation on the part of the landholders have been countered by fruit and vegetable growers complaints against union organizers, primarily against the charismatic Chavez. Not since 1968, when the indomitable migrant worker undertook systematic efforts to better the lots of thousands toiling for sporadic pay in lush fields has the scene been quiet. And now the martyrdom of the Jewish college student from Wakefield, Mass., Nan Freeman, appears certain to bring the ranks of protesters into a more determined circle.

Mexican-American migrants, Puerto Rican harvesters, grandchildren of John Steinbeck's Oakies, still impoverished, join with the organizers and other colleagues Cesar Chavez has attracted in honoring a Jewish youngster who loved and observed the Sabbath with such dedication and enlightenment that she accepted cheerfully the obligations, for her, took the form of working with retarded children, serving as a volunteer at a day care center and a half way house, and eventually moving on to the Florida picket line. In her short stay on earth, she was a National Merit Scholar, an activist in her synagogue youth group, and a participant in the program of the American Field Service. Farm workers who now mourn her knew her as one ever smiling. They think of her as blessed, as a holy person and vow to honor and remember her endlessly.

Behind the tragedy of Nan Freeman's young life are limned the stubborn facts of migrant struggle and the unusual career of their tireless, dauntless leader, Cesar Chavez. Among the more important facts of the struggle is the success of large farm owners and their allies in killing off attempts to bring agricultural employes within the ambit of the National Labor Relations Act. Still other facts cry out for remembrance. Growers who contend that the \$2.50 per hour sometimes paid migrant fruit and vegetable pickers is a handsome wage, usually fail to add that the work is seasonal and the season brief. Reliable government reports indicate an adult male harvest hand will average almost \$2000 a year and a family nearly \$3000; and all reasonable observers will acknowledge that even without inflation, such income constitutes poverty wages. Little wonder that one of Chavez's assistants, Jim Drake, has said: "The growers use the poorest of the poor in this country. That's about as low dS. you can get.

This does not hold for all growers, especially in recent years when a few progressive food producers came to realize that not only an amazingly wide segment of the American public but justice itself was on the side of Cesar Chavez. Settlements have been reached and improvements registered for some toiling in the fields.

But not long ago, Chavez was reportedly threatened with assassination because of his persistence. And too many of the owners, among them beneficiaries of government subsidies, have vowed relentless opposition to organizing efforts.

"One Man Plus The Truth Constitutes A Majority"

00000000000



FRIDAY, MAR. 3, 1972

BY JACK TELL 

(Continued from Page 1)

investigation of Clifford Irving.

The motive necessary for Greenspun's involvement in a conspiracy against Hughes hardly needs explanation. It has been proven over and over again, beyond question, that Greenspun has stooped to the lowest depths in any and every possible direction, no matter how remote, to cast a cloud over Hughes or anyone associated with the billionaire. Greenspun has been falsifying news reports with intentional untruths about Hughes since the day Robert Maheu was fired from his \$10,000 a week job as head of Hughes Nevada operations. It was during the four year tenure of Maheu that Greenspun wound up with more than \$10,000,000 in cash of the billionaire's money. When the flow of money stopped, Greenspun turned on his benefactor, and as syndicated writer Bob Considine stated, "the con man will wind up suing the conned."

In an action for \$142,000,000 against Hughes, Greenspun testifying just this past week admitted he had "no written agreement with Hughes." All transactions had taken place by Greenspun with Maheu, who, because he is also suing Hughes (for \$68,000,000) will no doubt, confirm anything Greenspun states.

Thus we have proximity to the damaging evidence (the Dietrich script) and motive by Greenspun. All that remained to be determined by the authorities is the contact between Greenspun and Irving.

Although it has not yet been released publicly, there is some talk that Irving is going to name Phelan as the go-between with the damaging evidence. If this is so, and Phelan acted on his own, without instructions from his boss, Greenspun, then Phelan's motive had to be for a cut of the \$650,000 gotten by Irving from McGraw-Hill and Life magazine. But it was Phelan who made call after call to the book publishers and Life, finally convincing them the Irving story was a hoax.

So it could have been a double cross among thieves with Irving reneging on a deal with Phelan. But there is another thread of association between Greenspun and Irving.

It is being disclosed, here and now, that a noted Las Vegas gambling figure, now deceased, had been, for years in very close touch with Hungarian art forger Elmry de Hory. Who is de Hory?

De Hory is the subject of a previous book by Irving, called "Fake." Not only that, de Hory is a neighbor of Irving's on the Spanish island of Ibiza. The L.V. gambler was a close friend of Greenspun's. Thus we have a chain of associations to form a contact between Greenspun and Irving.

And finally, there is the question of flight from the scene of a crime. What is the first thing that comes to mind when an accused is being apprehended with his bags packed, ready to leave for parts unknown?

When Hank Greenspun was interviewed by postal inspectors in New York investigating the "authenticity of a Clifford Irving autobiography on Howard Hughes," early in the case, long before the hoax was established and Irving confessed, the Sun publisher had purchased a ticket for Israel. At that time no damaging evidence had, as yet, been uncovered and so, Greenspun did not fly to Israel at that time. How do you like that?

We leave it to the reader to use his own judgment.

## \* \* \*

(ED. NOTE: A future column is being prepared to expose the deliberate, motivated plot by Sun publisher Hank Greenspun in conspiracy with Sun columnist Paul Price to discredit Dist. Court Judge Howard Babcock, one of the most reputable jurists ever to serve here or anywhere. Price has relinquished has role as "Hatchet Man" to assume the post of "Yes Man" -- who kisses his boss on all FOUR cheeks, J.T.)