

LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE
 PHONE 870-1255
 Published Every Friday in Las Vegas, Nevada
 Price per copy 15¢ - Per year \$7 - 2 Years \$12
 P.O. BOX 14096 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114
 Editor and Publisher Jack Tell
 Business Manager Bea Tell, 870-1255
 Vegas News Helene Stadler, 384-3685
 Jack Entratter, Trude Feldman, Jay Tell,
 Mark Tan and Beverly King Pollock
 2ND CLASS POSTAGE PAID AT LAS VEGAS,
 NEV.
 Member American Jewish Press Association
 Member of Worldwide News Service
 Now in the 7th Year of Continuous Weekly Publication
 Serving the Jewish Community of the State of Nevada.

Double Standard

A spectacle of the current United Nations Security Council debate on the Indo-Pakistani conflict once again highlights the double-standard employed by those nations which for political reasons find it in their interest to denounce Israel for taking steps necessary to assure her existence and insure the welfare of her people.

The Soviet Union and India, who lined up against Israel during and after the Six-Day War have adopted different tactics in the current conflict.

India, which began the present conflict when its troops entered East Pakistan, has refused to withdraw until a political settlement is reached between Pakistan and the Bengla Desh rebels; which is another way of saying until Pakistan recognizes the independence of Bengla Desh.

The Soviet Union, which constantly demands Israel's vacating from Arab territories, has vetoed two resolutions calling for a halt to the fighting on the Indian subcontinent and the withdrawal of Indian troops from Pakistani territory.

Pakistan, another nation which has not hesitated to attack Israel for defense measures to prevent its destruction, saw nothing contradictory in its military actions against East Pakistan some months ago. China, which supports Pakistan in the present conflict, continues to attack Israel's position in the Middle East.

All of which lends some credence to Israeli Premier Golda Meir's statement that the United Nations is motivated not by questions of right or wrong but by government interest.

Return to Quotas

In the past few weeks, two kinds of proposals for the use of benign racial quotas have quite naturally and properly exercised people in the Jewish community, long apprehensive about quotas of any kind.

One proposal is an executive order signed by Mayor John Lindsay of New York requiring the city to take an annual racial and ethnic census of its employes. In a field noted for a long, tough struggle to assure employment and advancement on the basis of merit rather than favoritism, this proposition could constitute a severe blow against the civil service merit system. Even though assurances have been given that the projected survey will not ask questions about religion, inquiries about ethnicity can certainly lead to the imposition of negative quotas based on one's Jewishness.

The second proposal comes from the College Entrance Examination Board. In pursuit of its laudable determination to open college op-

ITS RENEWAL TIME RIGHT NOW

I FORGOT TO SEND MY SUBSCRIPTION IN TO
 LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE - P.O. BOX 14096
 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114

Dear Jack:

I am deeply interested in the welfare and growth of our Jewish Community.

Please add my name to your fast growing list of subscribers.

NAME _____ PHONE _____
 ADDRESS _____ APT. No. _____
 CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

- One year (52 issues) \$ 7.00
- Two Years (104 issues) \$12.00

YOUR RENEWAL IS APPRECIATED



YOUNGSTER SHOWS DAD DESIGN OF HANUKKAH MENORAH IN A PROJECT AFFILIATED WITH THE NATIONAL JEWISH WELFARE BOARD, CONDUCTED WITH A VIEW TOWARD DEEPENING THE INDIVIDUAL'S SENSE OF JEWISH IDENTIFICATION.

portunities for blacks, that national body has asked the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination to waive the prohibition on the receipt of information relating to race and national origin derived from the Board's "Student Descriptive Questionnaire" by institutions of higher learning in Massachusetts.

Along with yellowing college application blanks asking baldly whether the applicant is "in any part a Hebrew," a wide array of prejudicial beliefs expressed by college presidents of that era are available to anyone who cares to rake the embers of those old fires. "Those questions (about religion) weren't put there for any discrimination reason," said the otherwise distinguished president of one of the best known women's colleges in the nation. "The (fair education) law proposed is futile. We can break it if we want. The reason for questions on application blanks are for rooming. I can see a fraternity of college presidents in the state prison if this law is passed."

"Questions on race, religion, or color are the same as asking the applicant's name and address," another frightened college president asserted with a straight face.

"All applications for admittance are considered on merit; our record is fine," still another women's college president declared at that time. (A careful study disclosed her college had 5 Jews enrolled in 1935, 5 in 1936, 3 in 1937, and 5 in 1939. Sweet is the mathematical consistency of a "fine record" rooted in merit!)

"I am opposing this bill for the very reason that a liberal arts college like ours does feel itself obliged to teach students not to feel prejudice...If we cannot know in advance what the backgrounds will be, we will be caught in a very difficult situation and find that we cannot control the situation where people are treated as individuals...The proposed (fair education) legislation is ignorance and nonsense." All this from one of the most famous presidents of an American women's college in modern times.

The cry now raised that colleges are having a rough time trying to identify blacks as blacks in the current splendid effort to attract blacks to the colleges has to be answered with compassion, but also with firmness. Oberlin college offers an ingenious and imaginative answer to the need: Call upon members of the Congressional Black Caucus to recommend a given number of black students annually from his congressional district for enrollment at the Ohio school. Similar mechanism are in use and more can be devised. But to permit retrogression in the fight against college quotas as some college administrators now propose is a heartache for all who have helped in that fight.

TELL TALES

"One Man Plus The Truth Constitutes A Majority"

BY JACK TELL



(Continued from Page 1)

and suspicious circumstances, which could be exposed when the directors of Hughes Tool Co. are properly licensed to run the gambling in the Hughes Nevada operation, are causing Greenspun to resort to any lengths, and depths, to prevent the licensing.

The men seeking to be licensed, as requested by Hughes, are all directors of the Hughes Tool Co., operating seven gambling establishments, along with other properties, employing some 8,000 in Nevada.

Greenspun, to save his own hide by stilling the exposure of his own nefarious transactions, is risking the livelihood of those 8,000 families and probably the economy of the entire state, with vicious attacks against the men designated by Hughes to represent him in the running of the Nevada properties.

From the beginning, since the ousting of Robert Maheu as chief of Nevada operations, Greenspun warned, publicly, that he, Greenspun would never allow the Tool Co. directors to be licensed. He, Greenspun who claimed he had the governor in his pocket, threatened to take the casinos away from Hughes by way of a "conservator," which is a court appointee to protect the interests of an incompetent, as a minor child, an insane person, a convict, etc.

There is not another person in the whole world who is seeking to deprive Hughes and the Hughes Tool Co. from operating. Maheu is suing for \$50,000,000 money damages, which is being determined right now in court. T.W.A. has already been awarded a large money judgement, which is being appealed. Neither Maheu, nor T.W.A. seek to put Hughes out of business. This is Greenspun's doing, all by himself.

The big question is why? The story no longer is whether the directors should or should not be licensed. The Gambling Commissioners are satisfied that all requirements have been met. The rest is just a formality to take place early in January.

Now the big story is why all the opposition by Greenspun? This question must and should be answered before the public will believe anything else the Sun publisher has to say.

Why did Greenspun make an unprecedented appearance before the Gambling Commission to present "secret" evidence against the Tool Co. directors? Evidently the "evidence" presented was not believed or at least overcome by subsequent facts presented to eradicate whatever Greenspun stated. But the big question remains. Why?

Is Greenspun afraid of losing his Las Vegas Sun, which was pledged as collateral for an unprecedented \$4,000,000 loan from Hughes via Maheu payable in 1974?

Is Greenspun upset because the Tool Co. directors, who fired Maheu, refused to honor commitments made by the deposed man? When Maheu was fired from his \$10,000 a week job he would have walked away if the commitments would be honored. That is why Maheu is suing for \$50,000,000. How much of those commitments would have accrued to Greenspun, in addition to the tens of millions he has already glommed?

Finally, is Greenspun scared to death that the licensed directors will proceed against him criminally?

Until and when, if ever, Greenspun answers these questions, the public will realize that anything and everything he has to say must be discounted.

Add to all this the important item that Greenspun has not one bit of fact or tangible evidence to back up his scurrilous attacks, which he repeats over and over again.

Within the past week, we have taken excerpts from stories that appeared in the Sun, as "Sun Task Force Exclusives," whatever that means, or front page editorials written by the master, Greenspun.

SEE PAGE FIVE