

LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE
 OF NEVADA
 PHONE 870-1255
 Published Every Friday in Las Vegas, Nevada
 Price per copy 15¢ - Per year \$7 - 2 Years \$12
 P.O. BOX 14096 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114
 Editor and Publisher Jack Tell
 Business Manager Bea Tell, 870-1255
 Vegas News Helene Stadler, 384-3685
 Jack Entratter, Trude Feldman, Jay Tell,
 Mark Tan and Beverly King Pollock
 2ND CLASS POSTAGE PAID AT LAS VEGAS,
 NEV.
 Member American Jewish Press Association
 Member of Worldwide News Service
 Now in the 7th Year of Continuous Weekly Publication
 Serving the Jewish Community of the State of Nevada.

Whose Brutality?

Ironically, as the United Nations Committee to investigate charges of Israeli brutality in occupied Arab territories began its second round of politically motivated hearings, Arab terrorists were swarming into Israel to seek sanctuary from the brutality of their Arab brethren.

The Committee--officially the UN Special Committee to Investigate Israeli Practices Affecting the Human Rights of the Population of the Occupied Territories--is composed of Somalia, Yugoslavia and Ceylon, countries which are publicly hostile to Israel and which maintain no diplomatic relations with her.

On the basis of preliminary hearings conducted in 1970 the Committee found Israel guilty as charged.

Inconveniently, for the Committee, as it began its latest hearings, members of the anti-Israeli El Fatah, Al Saiq and Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, flocked to Israel from Jordan to escape from the terror unleashed against them by the Jordanian Army. They fled to Israel, they told interrogators, because they had learned that stories of Israeli atrocities were untrue and because Israel treated them more humanely than their fellow Arabs.

In considering charges of Israeli brutality against the Arabs it would not be remiss to remember that the border with Jordan remains open; Arabs from every land are free to visit Israel to worship at the Moslem and Christian shrines; thousands of Arabs from other lands vacation in Israel and visit their family and friends each year; and in Israel and the occupied territories Arab newspapers, Arab notables and Arab men-in-the-street publicly criticize Israeli policies without reprisal.

Perhaps, the UN Committee should be investigating charges of Arab brutality to Arabs in Arab lands.

CBS is not Yielding

By ROBERT E. SEGAL

"A newspaper can be operated at the whim or caprice of its owners; a broadcast station cannot...A broadcast license is a public trust subject to termination for breach of duty."

Who said that? Chief Justice Warren E. Burger.

And who was quoting the chief justice? Assistant Defense Secretary Daniel Z. Henkin, who appears furious with the Columbia Broadcasting System and its redoubtable president, Frank Stanton. Mr. Henkin and other sections of the brass at the Pentagon have been trying hard to strike back at CBS for its devastating

ITS RENEWAL TIME RIGHT NOW
I FORGOT TO SEND MY SUBSCRIPTION IN TO
 LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE - P.O. BOX 14096
 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89114

Dear Jack;
 I am deeply interested in the welfare and growth of our Jewish Community.
 Please add my name to your fast growing list of subscribers.

NAME _____ PHONE _____
 ADDRESS _____ APT. No. _____
 CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

One year (52 issues) \$ 7.00
 Two Years (104 issues) \$12.00

YOUR RENEWAL IS APPRECIATED



documentary, "The Selling of the Pentagon," Congressman Harley O. Staggers (D., W. Va.), chairman of the Special Subcommittee on Investigations of the House Commerce Committee appeared as determined as Mr. Henkin to bring CBS to its knees. Investigators for Congress wanted CBS to come up with unused film and sound tape recordings and the names and addresses of all the people who appeared on the program. To their great credit, 226 Congressmen defeated this effort which constituted a serious threat to the First Amendment.

CBS put ten months of hard digging into its historic documentary. Stakes in the dispute twixt the broadcast industry and governmental authorities are high. At the tip top of considerations in the matter is the issue dramatized by Judge Burger's statement.

For we all should remember that the government decided early to take hold and keep secure in the governmental grasp the allocation of broadcast rights. Telling it over the air is different from telling it by mimeograph machine and printing press. A limited number of channels-for electronic communication exists; and the government had every right to step in and assume the stewardship to issue licenses.

But if the government now believes that it can use its power to prohibit the broadcast of programs solely because they are critical of the government itself, we are definitely on a new testing ground of the right to communicate.

And as the battle proceeded with some Congressmen and the Pentagon on one side and Frank Stanton and a majority of Congressmen on the other, the decision reached in the showdown in the House of Representatives is nearly as important as that registered in the court fight between The New York Times and the Justice Department. Once again, operations and programming of the military are involved. The Times and other papers concluded the public should have access to some of the thinking that went into America's ever-deepening involvement in Vietnam. Columbia Broadcasting Corporation concluded that people had a right to know more about the Pentagon's approaches to the task of making the war more palatable. CBS cut even deeper, charging that public relations men at the Pentagon constituted "a runaway bureaucracy that frustrates attempts to control it."

"When men govern themselves, it is they--- and no one else---who must pass judgement upon unwisdom and unfairness and danger," Alexander Meiklejohn observed in the pre-electronics age. "Just so far as, at any point, the citizens who are to decide an issue are denied acquaintance with information or opinion or doubt or disbelief or criticism which is relevant to that issue, just so far the result must be ill-considered, ill-balanced planning for the general good. It is that mutilation of the thinking process of the community against which the First Amendment is directed."

TELL TALES

"One Man Plus The Truth Constitutes A Majority"

BY JACK TELL



(Continued from Page 1)

of the past. Not Greenspun. With him, its a business. Every move must pay off.

This all came about because Hank chose to revive a column he first wrote in 1956, denouncing Eisenhower for siding with the Arabs. Greenspun's views at that time reflected the sentiments of practically every American Jew. We reprint it, along with his up-to-date preface in which he labels himself "a heck of a prophet."

That he was, undeniably so, on this matter, but on most other matters, he could have spelled it "profit."

Here are Greenspun's comments of last week in a California newspaper:

FAMED EDITOR WRITES

IKE'S '56 BLUNDER CREATED CRISIS TODAY

*Following is a follow-up column by Hank Greenspun, noted editor of the Las Vegas Sun, sharply pinpointing the cause of much of the troubles in the Middle East today. He writes it like it is.

By HANK GREENSPUN
 SPECIAL TO HERITAGE

The United States is now desperately attempting to preserve the status quo in the Middle East to keep that area of the world from going up in flames.

There would be no peril to peace in the Middle East if the United States had not created the conditions that have brought Israel and Egypt to the verge of war.

Commonly known as the Eisenhower fiasco, it was the most ill-judged, senseless and shortsighted of all the decisions of our State Department has been called upon to make in all its years of blundering.

On Oct. 31, 1956, directly after the Sinai invasion, when the Suez Canal was practically in Israeli, French and English hands with Nasser on the way out, then President Eisenhower accused England and France of playing tricks on the United States.

And at the time he was denouncing our allies, he had some words of praise for the Soviets when he said:

"If the Soviet Union indeed faithfully acts upon its announced intention, the world will witness the greatest forward stride toward justice, trust and understanding among nations."

This was only a few months after Hungary.

It didn't take too much intelligence to understand what was happening during 1956 and, with even the slightest of foresightedness, it was evident the United States had changed the course of history by turning the entire Middle East over to Communist penetration.

I do not happen to be an "I-told-you-so" guy, but I did write the following on Oct. 31, 1956, which makes me a heck of a prophet:

"Portrait of a bewildered warrior.

"Eisenhower was elected President of the United States because he was the Supreme Commander of World War II. How fortunate for us that such a General sits in the White House during such times of crisis. Who else could have assessed the military situation in the Middle East so readily and hit upon a decision without equivocation or vacillation?"

"The President immediately declared, when news of the invasion of Egypt was announced, that we will come to the aid of any victims of aggression. He has thrown the forces of the United States on the side of the poor 50 million or more Arabs who are "innocent" victims of an assault by almost one and a half million Israelis.

"There is little question that the United States together with Egypt, Jordan, Syria, Lebanon and a few more Arab nations will defeat the army of Israel because Russia is now on the side of the United States.

"Besides, who are the people of Israel, to be able to stand against so great a military leader as Eisenhower?"

"They are no warriors with modern weapons.

(Continued on Page 5)