

(Continued from Page 4)

to cite recent disclosures over nationwide tv. It was Greenspun, himself, who made the "recent disclosures" which, we have been proving, are not "recent disclosures" but a rehash of old, known information, plus rash statements conjured in his imagination.

Here we come to a part where Greenspun really rubs it in. He states preferential treatment in the past to Hughes, who is credited with hiring the right lawyers, meaning the law firm of recent governor Paul Laxalt. What Greenspun doesn't state, but what was common knowledge to everyone in Nevada was that it was he, Greenspun, who aided and abetted Hughes in setting up and expanding operations here, and it was Greenspun who directed and led Maheu to Laxalt and any preferential treatment indicated. It was Greenspun, himself, who appeared as a witness to secure the Hughes gambling licenses, without the personal appearance of the millionaire, in violation of state procedure. If anyone, it was Greenspun who betrayed the public trust, and for what? To conspire, allegedly with Maheu, to sell Hughes debt-ridden properties at exorbitant prices. Greenspun admitted this himself on tv, with a broad grin on his face.

Now Greenspun is insinuating that the new governor, who "does not have a law firm nor has he ever spoken to Mr. Hughes on the telephone," should disallow the name of Hughes to have a magical effect on state agencies. This is nothing more than a crass attempt to involve Gov. O'Callaghan to take action in a routine application for gambling license.

Greenspun's pressure to influence our Gov. Mike will fall on deaf ears. This we assure you. Knowing O'Callaghan, as we have for the past ten years, our Governor will tell Greenspun in no uncertain terms, that the power the publisher wielded in the first three years of the last administration is gone and over and done with. No longer will the Las Vegas Sun run the governor's office. That is our contention, and that is the real question on everyone's mind these days.

Greenspun recounts, what he calls "impedimenta to the immediate licensing of Mr. Davis." Greenspun accused Davis of being

LAS VEGAS ISRAELITE

the leader in the much-publicized struggle to oust Robert Maheu. Greenspun does not mention that it was he, Greenspun, who did all the publicizing. Greenspun invents a description of Maheu as "alter ego" of Hughes, and in this capacity Maheu was accepted by the state. Does this mean an employee cannot be fired for incompetence, and/or unwarranted actions? Greenspun questions whether Maheu has been legally ousted (even though Judge Babcock has so ruled) and asks how can a successor be named.

Then Greenspun cites a complaint in a legal civil action, charging Davis with conspiring to prevent Maheu's exercising authority as Hughes "alter ego." The case is pending. There are literally millions of civil cases pending in courts all over America. If government agencies were to be influenced by charges made in civil suits pending, we'd be at a standstill.

Here's where Greenspun gets real cute. Greenspun claims Davis entered Nevada "blustering and threatening," etc. In the next paragraph Greenspun states Davis denied such words, and then Greenspun goes on, "but wire service stories bear out the fact that such implications were indeed planted by Davis confederates." What Greenspun purposely does not reveal is that he, Greenspun, ran the story about Davis, and that he, Greenspun planted the item in the wire services, but that he, Greenspun, never bothered to point out the Davis denial.

So we have Greenspun instigating untrue statements in print, subsequently picked up by wire services, and then Greenspun using the wire service stories as authentic. See the vicious circle?

Greenspun asks: "Is it possible that Davis did actually overreach during a period of Hughes disability, to take over a substantial segment of the Hughes' fortune?" To show the far-fetched reaches of imagination Greenspun's question poses, we ask: "Isn't it possible that Greenspun and Maheu are out and out crooks bilked Hughes of millions and are seeking to grab more?"

Greenspun wants the exact context of a telephone conversation between Hughes and Laxalt, of which Davis was a party, to be brought to the attention of the gaming authorities con-

FRIDAY, FEB. 12, 1971
sidering the Davis application. Greenspun hints at something in the telephone conversation that would impugn the character of Davis. The gaming board is not concerned with hints or innuendos. What are the facts, Mr. Greenspun? It is obvious that Greenspun is just guessing, or hoping. There is no substance to his contentions.

And lastly, Greenspun admits appearing before the gaming policy-making board when Maheu applied. Who asked Greenspun to appear at that time? Where did he crop up from? Why? We know that Greenspun appeared to influence that state agency to grant the license without the personal appearance of Hughes. Greenspun wanted to be certain Maheu was approved so that Maheu could then be a party to the purchase of debt-ridden Greenspun properties by Hughes.

Now Greenspun bleats that the integrity of gaming will be stake without a public hearing, and a vast segment of the economy of the state is involved in what appears to be a simple application for a gaming license. Why did not Greenspun scream for a public hearing when Maheu applied?

Greenspun asks that he be heard when Mr. Davis' application is considered. We have never heard of the gaming board denying the right to any citizen speaking up when an application is being considered. So why the fuss? But when Greenspun adds: "I just might have a little more impedimenta to set before the commission which might affect the best interests of the industry and the future of the state," we have to gasp in astonishment.

Anything and everything Greenspun has to say on this subject has been stated over and over again, most emphatically, including all the suppositions, the guesses, the conjectures, the surmises, the suspicions, the raving accusations without substance, the unproven, baseless, idle, groundless, false, erroneous, untrue statements. If anyone is contributing to the bad image of Nevada it is Greenspun in his vehement mania to sustain Maheu.

In a post script, we suggest that since Maheu has opened a new office for "business consultant" and since Greenspun has such a high regard for Maheu's ability, why doesn't Greenspun hire Maheu for his Las Vegas Sun? Certainly Maheu will suggest a number of choice properties for Greenspun to purchase.



APARTMENTS FOR THOSE WHO CARE

FOR THOSE WHO CARE ABOUT CONVENIENCE. Senator Park is just five minutes from the Strip and Casino Center - a short walk to Rex Bell Elementary, Cashman Junior High and Clark High Schools. We're also walking distance to new Wonderworld Shopping Center (formerly A.M.A.) Its the perfect family location.

IDEAL AREA FOR DAY SLEEPERS!

2 BEDROOM	2 BEDROOM DELUXE	3 BEDROOM	3 BEDROOM/2 BATH
\$140 mo.	\$160 mo.	\$165 mo.	\$185 mo.

Available fashionably furnished at \$25 mo. additional

ALL APARTMENTS INCLUDE:

Wall-to-Wall Carpeting • Draperies throughout • Oven & Range • Refrigerator • Refrigerated Air Conditioning • Extra Closet Space • Your Choice of several floor plans ••



SENATOR PARK

APARTMENTS

PHONE: 878-5161 RENTAL OFFICE: 3915 Silver Dollar