# Top Ten Requirements for New UNLV President

e need a president. In case you have forgotten, UNLV is still searching for someone to replace former President Robert Maxson. There are plenty of tasks for the new president to deal with, such as budget cuts, surging enrollment and the desperate need for a new library to person

new library, to name a few. On top of that, the new president needs to have a plan to lead UNLV into the next century.

This is one of the most important decisions in the short history of this university. So here they are, my top 10 (sorry Dave, I couldn't think of anything better) requirements for the next president:

10. Must be willing to bow down



MITCHELL MITCHELL

Book

**JAMES** 

LAMARE

and kiss the athletic department's Nike's. Deny it all you want, but the athletic department rules around here and the next president should know that coming in. The Tarkanian regime regained power faster than Haiti's Jean-Bertrand Aristide and they won't be asking for the president.

asking for the president's permission or blessing on much of anything.

9. Convince faculty and staff that raises are overrated. It has been three years since some staff members got a raise. Now the university is \$10 million in the red and raises probably aren't in their near future. The president will have to convince them that their contributions to academia and our future are what really

counts. Good luck.

8. Convince potential scholarship and big-money donors their cash won't be spent on secret contracts. Basketball donors are making their way back to the Thomas & Mack, but the long term effects of the athletic department's scandals will not be known until the donors interested in academics, not basketball, decide whether or not to break out their checkbooks.

7. Willing to spank the student senators when they get out of line. These big-spending politicians have free reign over student funds. A good spanking from the university's top dog now and then might knock some sense into them.

 Can spell Isaiah. If tutors are going to do homework for athletes, they should pay attention to details, like spelling the athlete's name correctly. The next president should be given a spelling test filled with athlete's names and tricky words like potato.

5. Willing to bring cocktails to the "business men" sitting in Gucci row. "I'm going to make you an offer you can't refuse," is a favorite phrase of some folks that have returned to the T&M, so this is more of a tip for the president's own safety.

4. Make UNLV diplomas worth the paper they are printed on. Jokes about UNLV's academic reputation are a dime a dozen, but graduates are lucky if their degrees are worth a dime in the work force.

3. Can balance a checkbook. We have a minor budget problem, so I can think of, oh, 10 million reasons this is necessary.

2. Have a pulse. Potential can-

didates have seen the outrageous, yet typical, events of the past year, so just getting living, breathing applicants will be a feat.

1. Don't screw things up as bad as President Kenny Guinn. I thought the interim president's job was to get things ready for the next president. Instead, Guinn, with "Tark" tattooed across his chest, sets out on a demolition course to knock off everybody tied to Maxson. But. he bungled Tim Grgurich's hiring and then tried to fool everyone into believing Athletic Director Jim Weaver's resignation had nothing to do with Grgurich. Nice try. His term can't end soon enough.

> -Christopher Mitchell is an opinion columnist at The Rebel Yell.

## Phillips Political Solutions for America on the Mark?

Arrogant Capital: Washington, Wall Street, and the Frustration of American Politics Kevin Phillips Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1994. 231 pp.

On Nov. 3, the New York Times reported on a recent nationwide survey about this year's elections.

They found that a large number of Americans approaching voting were overwhelmed with a strong sense of political distrust and powerless-ness and an edgy feel about the country's future. Political alienation, according to the *Times*, is as widespread today as it was more than a decade ago. What is wrong?

Well-known consultant, columnist, and commentator Kevin Phillips diagnoses the etiologies of America's political ills and offers some remedies aimed at a

Phillips' argues that the root of the country's political problems lay in the failure of the current electoral process to cleanse the political system of outmoded policies and entrenched leaders who are out of touch with the majority of the public.

At crucial junctures in our nation's history, elections have been an important instrument of political change, sweeping into political power new faces with fresh solutions to our country's problems. These critical elections, such as the presidential election of

1932, have expanded the participatory base of the political system and shortened the distance between government and the priorities and preferences of the general citizenry.

The cyclical dynamic of electoral change should be occurring now, but it is being stifled by several forces. Preeminent is the insular power structure that has become fossilized in the nation's capital. The power elite is not only out of sync with the nation's people, it also has created barriers and devices that thwart efforts at voter-initiated renovation and house cleaning.

Phillips details the development of fortress Washington through amassing a slew of demographics that document (1) the expansion of the administrative bureaucracies and legislative and executive staff planted in the city's governing circles and (2) the explosion of the "parasite culture" composed of lawyers, lobbyists, media personnel, and consultants and policy experts, who feed off and nurture politicians, their aides, and our institutions.

For instance, he counts 61,000

lawyers and 91,000 lobbyists prowling in and around the District of Columbia. They intermingle and occasionally trade places with the hundreds of legislators and thousands of staff and bureaucrats that occupy the city.

Pinpointed for detailed analysis by Phillips is "the financialization of America." In a nutshell, Phillips contends that the profit needs of America's financial institutions have come to dominate the country's economic and political agenda. Making money off of money, risky investments (often offshore), and shaky derivatives has become the foremost goal of these institutions. Gone are the days when capital was pumped into the more tangible world of industrial development.

The social costs of these practices include forcing America's work force to move from the manufacturing to the service sector, with an attendant loss of better paying jobs and valuable fringe benefits. To be sure, the speculative drive of the financial institutions has generated tremendous wealth, but only a few have directly benefited from this surplus and the economic chasm between this thin rich stratum and the rest of us has widened.

The Washington political establishment has aided and abetted the promotion of financialization. Copious campaign contributions from the investors to political decision makers have helped the fusion process. So has the direct and indirect lobbying efforts of the financiers. The result has been policies aimed at serving the narrow gauge interests of speculators, no matter what the cost to the nation at large.

Phillips contends that the established political process is no match for the extant Washington power structure. In particular, separation of powers introduces friction and squabbling in government when decisive and coordinated action is required. The two-party system is "obsolete," serving mostly to protect the new establishment rather than as a mechanism that meaningfully links voters to policy makers.

The proliferation of state and local political entities, encouraged by federalism, has created multiple layers of largely ineffective government. Finally, the judicial and legal system has spawned an overabundance of judges and lawyers and, more importantly, a very messy and costly litigious approach to settling important issues.

Although much of what Phillips says about the country's problems is not new, he does present some intriguing case studies and interesting factual information to support his view.

To offset the country's mal-

aise, Phillips offers some general proposals which, if implemented, would precipitate a national "revolution."

—Shifting power away from Washington D.C. by, among other things, rotating the nation's capital between that city and some other city ("say Denver")

—Amending the Constitution to more unify the executive and legislative branches of government

-Redrawing the boundaries of authority of governments at the state and local level

—Reducing the importance of lawyers and litigation

—Enacting regulations that would curb the power of lobbyists (especially those representing foreign interests)

—Reducing the influence of "Wall Street" (especially speculators)
—Checking the spread of corporate globalization

—Controlling the national and international debt

-Reversing the tide of economic inequality and unfair taxation that has engulfed Ameri-

The principal plank in his manifesto for fundamental change is to trumpet the virtues of direct democracy and the opening of the electoral process.

To meet these ends, Phillips suggests holding nation wide referendums, institutionalizing the recall of elected federal office-holders, and, with less enthusiasm, imposing term limits on legislators. He also advocates electing members of the House of Representatives on the basis of proportional representation.

The major problem with Phillips' recommendations is that they are offered without much in-depth analysis.

In discussing national referendums, for instance, he fails to note that in some states where this device is already in place, such as California, the economic might of vested interests has greatly biased the process, thus undermining the principle of direct democracy.

Nevertheless, these proposals should stimulate debate on what might be done to change the political system. This, standing alone, makes the Phillips' book useful.

While many Americans bemoan the status quo, there are few who bother to probe the causes of our problems and even fewer who are willing to formulate a strategy for doing anything about them. Phillips has bravely journeyed into this thorny thicket.

Jim Lamare is a Professor and Chair of the Department of Political Science at UNLV.

### Letters to the Editor

#### Student Employment Needs Work To the Editor:

In the Nov. 17 issue of The Rebel Yell, Christopher Mitchell stated in his column that the Student Employment Office would be able to accomplish more if they were furnished with a bigger staff and modern equipment.

As an exchange student from the University of Delaware, I would like to offer some similarities and differences between the offices at my school and the one here at UNLV. At the U of Delaware there is no job board—instead there are job books. These books are compiled and, for around \$4.50, are a useful tool for students and staff. These books have hundreds of jobs that students can apply for. They also include detailed descriptions of the jobs found within it.

With this system, a person working in the office can get more done each day because the student needs only to check the book. The system also gives an advantage to the student because they don't have to wait two to three days to get job references. You get information immediately, without bothering anyone.

If this system was used at UNLV, I wouldn't have missed out on two jobs. The system they use is not efficient or helpful. Let's change it, so the 20,000 students at UNLV have a better chance of getting a job.

Mindy Maslynsky Junior National Student Exchange Student

### Paradigm or Paradox?

On Nov. 14th, another brave young man lost his life to AIDS. After a lengthy battle with the crippling disease, Pedro Zamora gave in to the very demon he fought for five long years. He was featured as one of seven people whose lives were chronicled on the MTV weekly serial, The Real World.

During his fight for life, Pedro dedicated his remaining days to AIDS awareness and the education of young people and the dangers of risky behavior. Although tragic in some sense, Pedro's death could be a parallel to some of life's anomalies.

There are many people who see life as only left or right; a day or a night; either black or white. Is it not possible for there to also be a medium or middle; a dusk or dawn; a gray?

Does 'alternative' mean wrong? Paradigm or paradox?

Can there ever be at time when people will always see the forest through the trees? Is there a glimmer of hope that someday all people will realize that even though we are different, we are still the same? With this type of thinking, it is easy to question why voters in the recent California election could be on the cutting edge of antidiscriminatory regulation one day, then destroy the value of those efforts with the passage of Proposition 187 the very next.

Those who knew Pedro, knew a strong, intelligent young man with a powerful message told through a sad story. He, like everyone else, had many dreams and many hopes and a vision of the future. Through his messages people were educated to the values of 'choice' and what it means to exercise that choice and the relationships of how choices affect other people. To those who did not know him, his death has little or no meaning at all. He was just another person who died.

Is there no end? To each his own.

David Turner Senior Hotel Administration